

Appendix 2: List of included studies in the scoping review

A2.1. Systematic Reviews reporting interventions for drinking water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH)

Als, D.; Meteke, S.; Stefopoulos, M.; Gaffey, M.F.; Kamali, M.; Munyuzangnabo, M.; Shah, S.; Jain, R.P.; Radhakrishnan, A.; Siddiqui, F.J.; Ataullahjan, A.; Bhutta, Z.A. (2020). Delivering Water, Sanitation and Hygiene Interventions to Women and Children in Conflict Settings: A Systematic Review. *BMJ Global Health*, 5(1), 1–12.
<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2019-002064>

Andres, L.; Borja-Vega, C.; Fenwick, C.; de Jesus Filho, J.; Gomez-Suarez, R. (2018). Overview and meta-analysis of global water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) impact evaluations (Policy Research Working Paper No. 8444). Washington, D.C.: World Bank.
<https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/29856>

Annamalai, T.R.; Devkar, G.; Mahalingam, A.; Benjamin, S.; Rajan, S.C.; Deep, A. (2016). What is the evidence on top-down and bottom-up approaches in improving access to water, sanitation and electricity services in low-income or informal settlements? London: EPPI-Centre, Social Science Research Unit, UCL Institute of Education, University College London.
<https://eppi.ioe.ac.uk/cms/Publications/Systematicreviews/Sanitationservices/tabid/3682/Default.aspx>

Annamalai, T.R.; Narayanan, S.; Devkar, G.; Kumar, V.S.; Devaraj, R.; Ayyangar, A.; Mahalingam, A. (2017). Incorporating the life cycle approach into WASH policies and programmes: A systematic review (3ie Systematic Review, No. 35). New Delhi: International Initiative for Impact Evaluation (3ie). <http://www.3ieimpact.org/evidence-hub/publications/systematic-reviews/incorporating-life-cycle-approach-wash-policies-and>

Annamalai, T.R.; Rajan, S.C.; Deep, A.; Gomez-Ibanez, J.A. (2012). Impact of changes in the transparency of infrastructure procurement and delivery on infrastructure access, costs, efficiency, price and quality: A systematic review of the evidence in developing countries. London: EPPI-Centre, Social Science Research Unit, UCL Institute of Education, University College London. <https://www.gov.uk/research-for-development-outputs/impact-of-changes-in-the-transparency-of-infrastructure-procurement-and-delivery-on-infrastructure-access-costs-efficiency-price-and-quality-a-systematic-review-of-the-evidence-in-developing-countries>

Arnold, B.F.; Colford, J.M.J. (2007). Treating Water With Chlorine At Point-Of-Use To Improve Water Quality And Reduce Child Diarrhea In Developing Countries: A Systematic Review And Meta-Analysis. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 76(2), 354–364. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2007.76.354>

Bizikova, L.; Nkonya, E.; Minah, M.; Hanisch, M.; Rao, T.; Rama, M.; Speranza, C.; Karthikeyan, M.; Tang, L.; Ghezzi-Kopel, K.; Kelly, J.; Celestin, A.C.; Timmers, B. (2020). A scoping review of the contributions of farmers' organizations to smallholder agriculture. *Nature Food*, 1, 620–630. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-020-00164-x>

Brown, J.; Jeandron, A.; Cavill, S.; Cumming, O. (2012). Evidence Review And Research Priorities: Water, Sanitation, And Hygiene For Emergency Response (Sanitation and Hygiene Applied Research for Equity (SHARE)). <https://www.gov.uk/dfid-research-outputs/evidence-review-and-research-priorities-water-sanitation-and-hygiene-for-emergency-response>

Cairncross, S.; Hunt, C.; Boisson, S.; Bostoen, K.; Curtis, V.; Fung, I.C.; Schmidt, W. (2010). Water, sanitation and hygiene for the prevention of diarrhoea. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 39(suppl_1), i193–i205. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyq035>

Clasen, T.; Schmidt, W.; Rabie, T.; Roberts, I.; Cairncross, S. (2007). Interventions to improve water quality for preventing diarrhoea: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*, 334(7597), 782. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.39118.489931.BE>

De, B.E.; Van, R.H.; Hannes, K.; Govender, T.; Naidoo, S.; Avau, B.; Veegaete, A.V.; Musekiwa, A.; Lutje, V.; Cargo, M.; Mosler, H.; Vandekerckhove, P.; Young, T. (2017). Approaches To Promote Handwashing and Sanitation Behaviour Change in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Mixed Method Systematic Review. *Campbell Systematic Reviews*, 13(1), 1–447. <https://doi.org/10.4073/csr.2017.7>

Devkar, G.A.; Mahalingam, A.; Deep, A.; Thillairajan, A. (2013). Impact of Private Sector Participation on Access and Quality in Provision of Electricity, Telecom and Water Services in Developing Countries: A Systematic Review. *Utilities Policy*, 27, 65–81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jup.2013.08.002>

Erasmus, Y.; Langer, L. (2017). Does governance type in protected areas matter for poverty? A Rapid Assessment of the Evidence from Sub-Saharan Africa. https://www.espa.ac.uk/files/espa/ACE%20REA_Protected%20Areas%20Governance%20and%20MDP%20in%20SSA_6%20Dec_final%20for%20website.pdf

Evans, W.D.; Pattanayak, S.K.; Young, S.; Buszin, J.; Rai, S.; Bihm, J.W. (2014). Social Marketing of Water And Sanitation Products: A Systematic Review Of Peer-Reviewed

Literature. *Social Science & Medicine*, 10(2014), 18–25.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2014.03.011>

Garn, J.V.; Sclar, G.D.; Freeman, M.C.; Penakalapati, G.; Alexander, K.T.; Brooks, P.; Rehfuess, E.A.; Boisson, S.; Medlicott, K.O.; Clasen, T.F. (2017). The impact of sanitation interventions on latrine coverage and latrine use: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health*, 220, 329–340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2016.10.001>

Hepworth, N.; Brewer, T.; Brown, B.; Atela, M.; Katomero, J.; Kones, J.; Gashaw, M. (2022). Accountability and advocacy interventions in the water sector: A global evidence review. *H2Open Journal*, 5(2), 307–322. <https://doi.org/10.2166/h2oj.2022.062>

Hepworth, N.; Hooper, V.; Hellebrandt, D.; Zeitoun, M.; Lankford, B. (2013). What factors determine the performance of institutional mechanisms for water resources management in developing countries in terms of delivering pro-poor outcomes and supporting sustainable economic growth? (CEE Review 11-006). London: Collaboration for Environmental Evidence. Available at:
<https://environmentalevidence.org/project/what-factors-determine-the-performance-of-institutional-mechanisms-for-water-resources-management-in-developing-countries-in-terms-of-delivering-pro-poor-outcomes-and-supporting-sustainable-economic/>

Hulland, K.; Matin, N.; Dreibelbis, R.; DeBruiker, V.; Julia; Winch, P. (2015). What factors affect sustained adoption of safe water, hygiene and sanitation technologies? A systematic review of literature. London: EPPI-Centre, Social Science Research Unit, UCL Institute of Education, University College London.
<https://eppi.ioe.ac.uk/cms/Portals/0/PDF%20reviews%20and%20summaries/WASH%20technologies%202015%20Hulland%20report.pdf?ver=2015-06-10-141853-910>

Hunter, R.F.; Cleland, C.; Cleary, A.; Droomers, M.; Wheeler, B.W.; Sinnett, D.; Nieuwenhuijsen, M.J.; Braubach, M. (2019). Environmental, health, wellbeing, social and equity effects of urban green space interventions: A meta-narrative evidence synthesis. *Environment International*, 130, 104923.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.104923>

Igaki, S.; Duc, N.T.M.; Nam, N.H.; Nga, T.T.T.; Bhandari, P.; Elhamamsy, A.; Lotify, C.I.; Hewalla, M.E.; Tawfik, G.M.; Mathenge, P.G.; Hashizume, M.; Huy, N.T. (2021). Effectiveness of Community and School-Based Sanitation Interventions in Improving Latrine Coverage: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Interventions. *Environmental Health and Preventive Medicine*, 26(1).
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12199-021-00934-4>

Jones-Hughes, T.; Peters, J.; Whear, R.; Cooper, C.; Evans, H.; Depledge, M.; Pearson, M. (2013). Are Interventions to Reduce The Impact Of Arsenic Contamination Of Groundwater On Human Health In Developing Countries Effective? A Systematic Review. *Environmental Evidence*, 2(11).

<http://www.environmentalevidencejournal.org/content/2/1/11>

Joshi, A.; Amadi, C. (2013). Impact Of Water, Sanitation, And Hygiene Interventions On Improving Health Outcomes Among School Children. *Journal of Environmental and Public Health*, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/984626>

Khogali, A.; Ahmed, A.; Ibrahim, M.; Karrar, K.; Elsheikhe, M.; Abdelraheem, E.; Cluver, L.; Elmukashfi, E. (2022). Building Power-ful Health Systems: The Impacts of Electrification on Health Outcomes in LMICs. *Psychology, Health & Medicine*, 27(51), 124–137. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13548506.2022.2109049>

Lucas, P.J.; Cabral, C.; Colford, J.M.J. (2011). Dissemination Of Drinking Water Contamination Data To Consumers: A Systematic Review Of Impact On Consumer Behaviours. *PLoS ONE*, 6(6), e21098-21098. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0021098>

Macura, B.; Dickin, S.; Waddington; Liera; Soto; Orlando; Foggitt, E.; Pross; McArthur; Fadhlila; Duca, D.; Njoroge. (2023). Gender and social outcomes of WASH interventions: Synthesis of research evidence. <https://doi.org/10.51744/CSWP7>

McMichael, C. (2019). Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH) in Schools in Low-Income Countries: A Review of Evidence of Impact. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(359). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16030359>

Mooney, S.; McDowell, C.P.; O'Dwyer, J.; Hynds, P.D. (2020). Knowledge and Behavioural Interventions To Reduce Human Health Risk From Private Groundwater Systems: A Global Review and Pooled Analysis Based on Development Status. *Science of the Total Environment*, 716(n/a), n/a-a. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135338>

Narayanan, S.; Rajan, A.T.; Jebaraj, P.; Elayaraja, M.S. (2017). Delivering Basic Infrastructure Services to the Urban Poor: A Meta-Analysis of the Effectiveness of Bottom-up Approaches. *Utilities Policy*, 44, 50–62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jup.2017.01.002>

Prasad, S.; Lane, C.; Glandon, D. (2021). Rapid evidence assessment of the impacts of sewerage, drainage, and piped water chlorination in urban settings of low- and middle-

income countries. *Journal of Water, Sanitation and Hygiene for Development*, 11(2), 179–194. <https://doi.org/10.2166/washdev.2020.256>

Rabiu, M.; Alhassan, M.B.; Ejere, H.O.D.; Evans, J.R. (2012). Environmental Sanitary Interventions For Preventing Active Trachoma (Review). *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2012(2). <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD004003.pub4>

Roe, D.; Fancourt, M.; Sandbrook, C.; Sibanda, M.; Giuliani, A.; Gordon-Maclean, A. (2014). Which components or attributes of biodiversity influence which dimensions of poverty? *Environmental Evidence*, 3(1), 3. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2047-2382-3-3>

Seguin, M.; Niño-Zarazúa, M. (2013). What do we know about non-clinical interventions for preventable and treatable childhood diseases in developing countries? (UNU-WIDER Working Paper No. 2013/087). Helsinki: United Nations University – World Institute for Development Economics Research (UNU-WIDER). <https://mpira.ub.uni-muenchen.de/49817/>

Sprouse, L.; Liles, A.; Cronk, R.; Bauza, V.; Tidwell, J.B.; Manga, M. (2022). Interventions to address unsafe child feces disposal practices in the Asia-Pacific region: A systematic review. *H2Open Journal*, 5(4), 583–602. <https://doi.org/10.2166/h2oj.2022.137>

Taylor, D.L.; Kahawita, T.M.; Cairncross, S.; Ensink, J.H. (2015). The Impact Of Water, Sanitation And Hygiene Interventions To Control Cholera: A Systematic Review. *PLoS ONE*, 10(8), e0135676-0135676. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0135676>

Venkataramanan, V.; Crocker, J.; Karon, A.; Bartram, J. (2018). Community-Led Total Sanitation: A Mixed-Methods Systematic Review Of Evidence And Its Quality. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 126(2), 026001–026017. <https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP1965>

Waddington, H.; Snilstveit, B.; White, H.; Fewtrell, L. (2009). Water, sanitation and hygiene interventions to combat childhood diarrhoea in developing countries (3ie Systematic Review, No. 1). New Delhi: International Initiative for Impact Evaluation (3ie). <http://www.3ieimpact.org/evidence-hub/publications/systematic-reviews/water-sanitation-and-hygiene-interventions-combat>

Wolf, J.; Hunter, P.R.; Freeman, M.C.; Cumming, O.; Clasen, T.; Bartram, J.; Higgins, J.P.T.; Johnston, R.; Medlicott, K.; Boisson, S.; Prüss-Ustün, A. (2018). Impact Of Drinking Water, Sanitation And Hand Washing With Soap On Childhood Diarrhoeal Disease: Updated Meta-Analysis And Regression. *Tropical Medicine and International Health*, 23(5), 508–525. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tmi.13051>

Yates, T.; Allen, J.; Joseph, M.L.; Lantagne, D. (2017). Short-term WASH interventions in emergency response: A systematic review (3ie Systematic Review, No. 33). London: International Initiative for Impact Evaluation (3ie). <https://www.3ieimpact.org/evidence-hub/publications/systematic-reviews/short-term-wash-interventions-emergency-response>

Yates, T.; Allen, J.V.; Joseph, M.L.; Lantagne, D. (2017). WASH interventions in disease outbreak response: A systematic review. Oxford: Oxfam GB. <https://policy-practice.oxfam.org.uk/publications/wash-interventions-in-disease-outbreak-response-620202>

Yates, T.; Jelena, A.V.J.A.V.; Myriam, L.J.M.L.J.; Karin, G.K.G.; Daniele, L.D.L. (2018). Efficacy and effectiveness of water, sanitation, and hygiene interventions in emergencies in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review. *Waterlines*, 37(1), 31–65. <https://doi.org/10.3362/1756-3488.17-00016>

A2.2. Evaluations reporting project or programme contributions to drinking water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH)

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Evaluation of FAO's contribution to Sustainable Development Goal 6 – “Ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all. ” Rome, 2023. <https://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/cc4349en>

International Organization for Migration (IOM). Evaluation Synthesis of United Nations System and Development Bank Work Towards SDG 6. Geneva, 2021. <https://evaluation.iom.int/report/evaluation-synthesis-united-nations-system-and-development-bank-work-towards-sdg-6>

United Nations. Final Evaluation of the UN-Government of Mauritius SPF Programme. New York, 2023. https://minio.uninfo.org/uninfo-production-main/684443ba-a2b2-48d9-bbdb-9c6893d8736b_Final_Evaluation_UN_Gov_Mauritius_SPF_Report.pdf

United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Evaluation of the Government of Zimbabwe Country Programme of Cooperation (2016–2021). New York, 2021. <https://www.unicef.org/evaluation/reports#/detail/17606>

United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Country Programme Evaluation UNICEF – Lebanon (2017–2021). New York, 2021. <https://www.unicef.org/evaluation/reports#/detail/16948>

United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Country Programme Evaluation of the UNICEF India Country Programme 2018–2022. New York, 2022. <https://www.unicef.org/evaluation/reports#/detail/18250>

United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Country Programme Evaluation of the UNICEF Pakistan Country Programme 2018–2022. New York, 2022. <https://www.unicef.org/evaluation/reports#/detail/18254>

United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Country Programme Evaluation for Cambodia (CPD 2019 to 2023). New York, 2023. <https://www.unicef.org/evaluation/reports#/detail/18522>

United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Country Programme Evaluation of UNICEF Philippines Country Programme (2019–2023). New York, 2023. <https://www.unicef.org/evaluation/reports#/detail/18693>

United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Evaluation of the UNICEF Response to the Humanitarian Crisis in South Sudan – Phase 2. New York, 2021. <https://www.unicef.org/evaluation/reports#/detail/8897>

United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Evaluation of UNICEF COVID-19 Assistance Quality in Children's Health and Education – Phase I. New York, 2021. <https://www.unicef.org/evaluation/reports#/detail/17154>

United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Evaluation of the Government of the United Republic of Tanzania and UNICEF Country Programme. New York, 2021. <https://www.unicef.org/evaluation/reports#/detail/17510>

United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Evaluation of the Malawi Country Programme of Cooperation. New York, 2021. <https://www.unicef.org/evaluation/reports#/detail/17508>

United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Evaluation of UNICEF's Response in Syria. New York, 2022. <https://www.unicef.org/evaluation/reports#/detail/18406>

United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Evaluation of the UNICEF Lebanon Response to COVID-19. New York, 2022. <https://www.unicef.org/evaluation/reports#/detail/19055>

United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Evaluation of UNICEF's Cluster Lead Agency Role (CLARE II). New York, 2022. <https://www.unicef.org/evaluation/reports#/detail/18527>

United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Country Programme Evaluation Sudan. New York, 2023. <https://www.unicef.org/evaluation/reports#/detail/18817>

United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Evaluation of the UNICEF L3 Response in Northern Ethiopia. New York, 2023. <https://www.unicef.org/evaluation/reports#/detail/18937>

United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Evaluation of the UNICEF Uganda Preparedness and Response to the L2 Ebola Emergency. New York, 2023. <https://www.unicef.org/evaluation/reports#/detail/19212>

United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Evaluation of UNICEF's Intervention to Improve Sanitation Facilities and Behavior Change. New York, 2023. <https://www.unicef.org/evaluation/reports#/detail/19441>

United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Evaluation of the UNICEF Budget Framework for Justice and Family-Related Cases. New York, 2024. <https://www.unicef.org/evaluation/reports#/detail/19882>

United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Evaluation of the UNICEF Lebanon Response in Afghanistan. New York, 2024. <https://www.unicef.org/evaluation/reports#/detail/18938>

United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Country Programme Evaluation of UNICEF Bhutan Country Programme. New York, 2024. <https://www.unicef.org/evaluation/reports#/detail/18998>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Strategic Programme Evaluation: Pacific Countries. New York, 2022. <https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/13393>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Independent Country Programme Evaluation: Pakistan. New York, 2022. <https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/15620>

United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (UN ESCAP). Evaluation of the Implementation of the Asia-Pacific Plan of Action on Space Applications for Sustainable Development. Bangkok, 2022. <https://www.unescap.org/sites/default/d8files/2022-10/ESCAP-evaluation-report-on-PoA-Space-Application.pdf>

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO). Synthesis of UNESCO Evaluations. Paris, 2023. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000386930.locale=en>

United Nations Institute for Training and Research (UNITAR). Final Evaluation of the IOMC Toolbox for Decision-Making in Chemicals Management – Phase III. Geneva, 2023. <https://unitar.org/results-evidence-learning/evaluation/final-evaluation-iomc-toolbox-decision-making-chemicals-management-phase-iii-design-action-project>

World Food Programme (WFP). Evaluation of Bhutan WFP Country Strategic Plan 2019–2023. Rome, 2023. <https://www.wfp.org/publications/evaluation-bhutan-wfp-country-strategic-plan-2019-2023>

World Health Organization (WHO). Evaluation of WHO's Thirteenth General Programme of Work (GPW13). Geneva, 2023. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/who-dgo-evl-2023>. 8

A2.3 Systematic Reviews reporting interventions for water-use efficiency (WUE)

Annamalai, T.R.; Rajan, S.C.; Deep, A.; Gomez-Ibanez, J.A. (2012). Impact of changes in the transparency of infrastructure procurement and delivery on infrastructure access, costs, efficiency, price and quality: A systematic review of the evidence in developing countries. London: EPPI-Centre, Social Science Research Unit, UCL Institute of Education, University College London. <https://www.gov.uk/research-for-development-outputs/impact-of-changes-in-the-transparency-of-infrastructure-procurement-and-delivery-on-infrastructure-access-costs-efficiency-price-and-quality-a-systematic-review-of-the-evidence-in-developing-countries>

Ategeka, J.; Konci, G.; Laurenz, L.; Muller, M.; Nduku, P.; Pampolina, J.; Prowse, M.; Reumann, A. (2024). Coastal and terrestrial water sector interventions in developing countries: A systematic review. <https://ieu.greenclimate.fund/sites/default/files/page/evidence-review-coastal-and-terrestrial-water-sector-interventions-developing-countries-22-08-2023.html>

Bizikova, L.; Nkonya, E.; Minah, M.; Hanisch, M.; Rao, T.; Rama, M.; Speranza, C.; Karthikeyan, M.; Tang, L.; Ghezzi-Kopel, K.; Kelly, J.; Celestin, A.C.; Timmers, B. (2020). A scoping review of the contributions of farmers' organizations to smallholder agriculture. *Nature Food*, 1, 620–630. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-020-00164-x>

Blom, I.M.; Elissa, M.; Mattijssen, J.C.; Sana, H.; Haines, A.; Whitmee, S. (2024). Effectiveness of Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Intervention for Health-Care Systems: A Systematic Review. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 102, 159-175B-59-175B. <https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.23.290464>

Castle, S.E.; Miller, D.C.; Ordonez, P.J.; Baylis, K.; Hughes, K. (2021). The Impacts of Agroforestry Interventions on Agricultural Productivity, Ecosystem Services, and Human Well-Being in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review. *Campbell Systematic Reviews*, 17(2), 1–52. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cl2.1167>

Hepworth, N.; Brewer, T.; Brown, B.; Atela, M.; Katomero, J.; Kones, J.; Gashaw, M. (2022). Accountability and advocacy interventions in the water sector: A global evidence review. *H2Open Journal*, 5(2), 307–322. <https://doi.org/10.2166/h2oj.2022.062>

Hepworth, N.; Hooper, V.; Hellebrandt, D.; Zeitoun, M.; Lankford, B. (2013). What factors determine the performance of institutional mechanisms for water resources management in developing countries in terms of delivering pro-poor outcomes and supporting sustainable economic growth? (CEE Review 11-006). London: Collaboration for Environmental Evidence. <https://environmentalevidence.org/project/what-factors-determine-the-performance-of-institutional-mechanisms-for-water-resources-management-in-developing-countries-in-terms-of-delivering-pro-poor-outcomes-and-supporting-sustainable-economic/>

Hett, C.; Aye, Z.C.; Gironde, C.; Beban, A.; Castella, J.; Bernhard, R.; Ehrensperger, A. (2023). Agroecological initiatives in the Mekong Region: A systematic literature review and mapping reveals their implications for transitioning to sustainable food systems. *Sustainability Science*, 18(1), 334–355. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-022-01239-4>

Jagannath, P.; Pullabhotla, H.; Uphoff, N. (2013). Meta-analysis evaluating water use, water saving, and water productivity in irrigated production of rice with SRI vs. standard management methods. *Taiwan Water Conservancy*, 61(4), 14–49. <https://doi.org/10.7082/TWC.2013.61.4.02>

Kamwamba-Mtethiwa, J.; Weatherhead, K.; Knox, J. (2016). Assessing performance of small-scale pumped irrigation systems in sub-Saharan Africa: Evidence from a systematic review. *Irrigation and Drainage*, 65(3), 308–318. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ird.1950>

Maryono, M.; Killoes, A.M.; Adhikari, R.; Aziz, A.A. (2024). Agriculture Development through Multi-stakeholder Partnerships in Developing Countries: A Systematic Literature Review. *Agricultural Systems*, 213. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2023.103792>

Sabater, S.; Bregoli, F.; Acuña, V.; Barceló, D.; Elosegí, A.; Ginebreda, A.; Marcé, R.; Muñoz, I.; Sabater-Liesa, L.; Ferreira, V. (2018). Effects of human-driven water stress on river ecosystems: A meta-analysis. *Scientific Reports*, 8(1), 11462. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-29807-7>

Yates, T.; Vujcic, J.A.; Gallandat, K.; Lantagne, D. (2018). Efficacy and effectiveness of water, sanitation, and hygiene interventions in emergencies in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review. *Waterlines*, 37(1), 31–65. <https://doi.org/10.3362/1756-3488.17-00016>

A2.4. Evaluations reporting project or programme contributions to water-use efficiency (WUE)

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Evaluation of FAO's contribution to Sustainable Development Goal 6 – “Ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all.” Rome, 2023. <https://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/cc4349en>

International Organization for Migration (IOM). Evaluation synthesis of United Nations system and development bank work towards SDG 6. Geneva, 2021. <https://evaluation.iom.int/report/evaluation-synthesis-united-nations-system-and-development-bank-work-towards-sdg-6>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Independent Subregional Programme Evaluation: Pacific Countries. New York, 2022. <https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/13393>

United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE). Integrated energy and water resources management in support of sustainable development in South-Eastern Europe and Asia: Terminal evaluation report. Geneva, 2022. https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2022-05/EVALUA~1_0.PDF

A2.5. Systematic reviews reporting interventions for water-related ecosystems

Ategeka, J.; Konci, G.; Laurenz, L.; Muller, M.; Nduku, P.; Pampolina, J.; Prowse, M.; Reumann, A. (2024). Coastal and terrestrial water sector interventions in developing countries: A systematic review. <https://ieu.greenclimate.fund/sites/default/files/page/evidence-review-coastal-and-terrestrial-water-sector-interventions-developing-countries-22-08-2023.html>

Bizikova, L.; Nkonya, E.; Minah, M.; Hanisch, M.; Rao, T.; Rama, M.; Speranza, C.; Karthikeyan, M.; Tang, L.; Ghezzi-Kopel, K.; Kelly, J.; Celestin, A.C.; Timmers, B. (2020). A scoping review of the contributions of farmers' organizations to smallholder agriculture. *Nature Food*, 1, 620–630. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-020-00164-x>

Carstensen, M.V.; Hashemi, F.; Hoffmann, C.C.; Zak, D.; Audet, J.; Kronvang, B. (2020). Efficiency of mitigation measures targeting nutrient losses from agricultural drainage systems: A review. *Ambio*, 49(11), 1820–1837. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-020-01345-5>

Castle, S.E.; Miller, D.C.; Ordonez, P.J.; Baylis, K.; Hughes, K. (2021). The Impacts of Agroforestry Interventions on Agricultural Productivity, Ecosystem Services, and Human

Well-Being in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review. *Campbell Systematic Reviews*, 17(2), 1–52. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cl2.1167>

Dressler, W.; Wilson, D.; Clendenning, J.; Cramb, R.; Mahanty, S.; Lasco, R.; Keenan, R.; To, P.; Gevana, D. (2015). Examining How Long Fallow Swidden Systems Impact Upon Livelihood and Ecosystem Services Outcomes Compared with Alternative Land-uses in the Uplands of Southeast Asia. *Journal of Development Effectiveness*, 7(2). <https://doi.org/10.1080/19439342.2014.991799>

Hepworth, N.; Brewer, T.; Brown, B.; Atela, M.; Katomero, J.; Kones, J.; Gashaw, M. (2022). Accountability and advocacy interventions in the water sector: A global evidence review. *H2Open Journal*, 5(2), 307–322. <https://doi.org/10.2166/h2oj.2022.062>

Hepworth, N.; Hooper, V.; Hellebrandt, D.; Zeitoun, M.; Lankford, B. (2013). What factors determine the performance of institutional mechanisms for water resources management in developing countries in terms of delivering pro-poor outcomes and supporting sustainable economic growth? (CEE Review 11-006). London: Collaboration for Environmental Evidence. <https://environmentalevidence.org/project/what-factors-determine-the-performance-of-institutional-mechanisms-for-water-resources-management-in-developing-countries-in-terms-of-delivering-pro-poor-outcomes-and-supporting-sustainable-economic/>

Hett, C.; Aye, Z.C.; Gironde, C.; Beban, A.; Castella, J.; Bernhard, R.; Ehrensperger, A. (2023). Agroecological initiatives in the Mekong Region: A systematic literature review and mapping reveals their implications for transitioning to sustainable food systems. *Sustainability Science*, 18(1), 334–355. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-022-01239-4>

Hunter, R.F.; Cleland, C.; Cleary, A.; Droomers, M.; Wheeler, B.W.; Sinnett, D.; Nieuwenhuijsen, M.J.; Braubach, M. (2019). Environmental, health, wellbeing, social and equity effects of urban green space interventions: A meta-narrative evidence synthesis. *Environment International*, 130, 104923. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.104923>

Jones-Hughes, T.; Peters, J.; Whear, R.; Cooper, C.; Evans, H.; Depledge, M.; Pearson, M. (2013). Are Interventions To Reduce The Impact Of Arsenic Contamination Of Groundwater On Human Health In Developing Countries Effective? A Systematic Review. *Environmental Evidence*, 2(11). <http://www.environmentalevidencejournal.org/content/2/1/11>

Su, J.; Friess, D.A.; Gasparatos, A. (2021). A Meta-Analysis of the Ecological and Economic Outcomes of Mangrove Restoration. *Nature Communications*, 12, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-25349-1>

A2.6. Evaluation reports reporting project or programme contributions to water-related ecosystems

Adaptation Fund. Second synthesis of the evaluations in the Adaptation Fund. Washington, D. C., 2024. <https://www.adaptation-fund.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/02/AF-TERG-Synthesis-Revised-03.24.25.pdf>

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Evaluation of FAO's contribution to Sustainable Development Goal 6 – “Ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all.” Rome, 2023. <https://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/cc4349en>

International Organization for Migration (IOM). Evaluation Synthesis of United Nations System And Development Bank Work Towards SDG 6. Geneva, 2021. <https://evaluation.iom.int/report/evaluation-synthesis-united-nations-system-and-development-bank-work-towards-sdg-6>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Independent Subregional Programme Evaluation: Pacific Countries. New York, 2022. <https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/13393>

United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE). Capacity-building for cooperation on dam safety in Central Asia (Phase 3). Geneva, 2021. https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2021-09/ENV_EV~2.PDF

United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE). Integrated Energy and Water Resources Management in Support of Sustainable Development in South-Eastern Europe and Asia. Geneva, 2022. https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2022-05/EVALUA~1_0.PDF

A2.7. Systematic reviews reporting interventions for food waste and food losses

Hett, C.; Aye, Z.C.; Gironde, C.; Beban, A.; Castella, J.; Bernhard, R.; Ehrensperger, A. (2023). Agroecological initiatives in the Mekong Region: A systematic literature review and mapping reveals their implications for transitioning to sustainable food systems. Sustainability Science, 18(1), 334–355. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-022-01239-4>

Redlingshöfer, B.; Barles, S.; Weisz, H. (2020). Are waste hierarchies effective in reducing environmental impacts from food waste? A systematic review for OECD countries. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 156, 104723. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.104723>

Rolker, H.; Eisler, M.; Cardenas, L.; Deeney, M.; Takahashi, T. (2022). Food waste interventions in low-and-middle-income countries: A systematic literature review. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2022.106534>

A2.8. Systematic reviews reporting interventions for chemicals and wastes management

Bizikova, L.; Nkonya, E.; Minah, M.; Hanisch, M.; Rao, T.; Rama, M.; Speranza, C.; Karthikeyan, M.; Tang, L.; Ghezzi-Kopel, K.; Kelly, J.; Celestin, A.C.; Timmers, B. (2020). A scoping review of the contributions of farmers' organizations to smallholder agriculture. *Nature Food*, 1, 620–630. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-020-00164-x>

Blom, I.M.; Elissa, M.; Mattijsen, J.C.; Sana, H.; Haines, A.; Whitmee, S. (2024). Effectiveness of Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Intervention for Health-Care Systems: A Systematic Review. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 102, 159-175B-59-175B. <https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.23.290464>

Doswald, N.; Pérez Marín, I.; Rodríguez Pérez, J.J.; Prowse, M.; Escamilla de León, R.; Sánchez Torrente, L.; de la Vega Franco, G. (2021). Effectiveness of climate change mitigation interventions in the private sector in developing countries: A synthetic review (GCF IEU Working Paper No. 2011/08). Incheon: Green Climate Fund – Independent Evaluation Unit (IEU). <https://ieu.greenclimate.fund/document/psim-synthetic-review>

Hett, C.; Aye, Z.C.; Gironde, C.; Beban, A.; Castella, J.; Bernhard, R.; Ehrensperger, A. (2023). Agroecological initiatives in the Mekong Region: A systematic literature review and mapping reveals their implications for transitioning to sustainable food systems. *Sustainability Science*, 18(1), 334–355. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-022-01239-4>

Hunter, R.F.; Cleland, C.; Cleary, A.; Droomers, M.; Wheeler, B.W.; Sinnett, D.; Nieuwenhuijsen, M.J.; Braubach, M. (2019). Environmental, health, wellbeing, social and equity effects of urban green space interventions: A meta-narrative evidence synthesis. *Environment International*, 130, 104923. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.104923>

Jones-Hughes, T.; Peters, J.; Whear, R.; Cooper, C.; Evans, H.; Depledge, M.; Pearson, M. (2013). Are Interventions To Reduce The Impact Of Arsenic Contamination Of Groundwater On Human Health In Developing Countries Effective? A Systematic Review. *Environmental Evidence*, 2(11). <http://www.environmentalevidencejournal.org/content/2/1/11>

Maryono, M.; Killoes, A.M.; Adhikari, R.; Aziz, A.A. (2024). Agriculture Development through Multi-stakeholder Partnerships in Developing Countries: A Systematic Literature Review. *Agricultural Systems*, 213. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agry.2023.103792>

Reyes-Torres, M.; Oviedo-Ocaña, E.R.; Dominguez, I.; Komilis, D.; Sánchez, A. (2018). A systematic review on the composting of green waste: Feedstock quality and optimization strategies. *Waste Management*, 77, 486–499. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2018.04.037>

Su, J.; Friess, D.A.; Gasparatos, A. (2021). A Meta-Analysis of the Ecological and Economic Outcomes of Mangrove Restoration. *Nature Communications*, 12, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-25349-1>

Waddington, H.; Snilstveit, B.; Hombrados, J.; Vojtkova, M.; Phillips, D.; Davies, P.; White, H. (2014). Farmer Field Schools for Improving Farming Practices and Farmer Outcomes: A Systematic Review (Campbell Systematic Reviews, Vol. 2014, Issue 6). <https://doi.org/10.4073/csr.2014.6>

A2.9. Evaluation reports reporting project or programme contributions to management of chemicals and wastes

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Evaluation of FAO's contribution to the Republic of Moldova 2016–2019. Rome, 2021. <http://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/cb5485en>

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Evaluation of FAO's contribution to Jordan 2017–2021. Rome, 2022. <https://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/cc2662en>

Global Environment Facility Independent Evaluation Office. Formative Evaluation of the GEF Integrated Approach to address the Drivers of Environmental Degradation. Washington, D. C., 2021. <https://www.gefio.org/evaluations/environmental-degradation>

Global Environment Facility Independent Evaluation Office. Evaluation of GEF Engagement with Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs). Washington, D. C., 2021. <https://www.gefio.org/evaluations/msme>

International Labour Organization. Sustaining Competitive and Responsible Enterprises (SCORE) Phase IV - Global—Final evaluation. Geneva, 2023. <https://wwwex.ilo.org/ordspub/prdutf8p/eitrack/files/file/1104092>

International Trade Centre. Evaluation of the UKTP Programme. Geneva, 2023.

<https://intracen.org/sites/default/files/inline-files/Evaluation%20of%20the%20UKTP%20Programme.pdf>

United Nations. Report of The Evaluation of The Government of India - UN Sustainable Development Framework 2018–22. New Delhi, 2022. https://minio.uninfo.org/uninfo-production-main/f056b7b4-9fd7-4be7-b9bd-e311af720341_2023_India_UNSDF_2018-22_Evaluation_report.pdf

United Nations. Evaluation of the Government of Mauritius & United Nations Strategic Partnership Framework (SPF) 2019–2023. New York, 2023. https://minio.uninfo.org/uninfo-production-main/684443ba-a2b2-48d9-bbdb-9c6893d8736b_Final_Evaluation_UN_Gov_Mauritius_SPF_Report.pdf

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Third Joint GEF-UNDP Evaluation of the Small Grants Programme. New York, 2021. <https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/13051>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Partnership for Action on Green Economy. New York, 2023. https://mptf.undp.org/sites/default/files/documents/2023-07/pims_id_01659_02032_2023_partnership_for_action_on_green_economy_page_evaluation.pdf

United Nations Economic Commission for Europe. Evidence-based environmental governance and sustainable environmental policies in support of the 2030 Agenda in South-East Europe. Geneva, 2024. <https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2022-12/UNECED~1.PDF>

United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (UN ESCAP). Closing the Loop: Scaling up Innovation to Tackle Marine Plastic Pollution in ASEAN Cities. Bangkok, 2022. <https://www.unescap.org/sites/default/d8files/2023-06/Closing-the-Loop-project-evaluation-final-formatted-with-MR.pdf>

United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (UN ESCAP). Integrating the Sustainable Development Goals into local action in support of the implementation of the 2030 Agenda in Asia and the Pacific. Bangkok, 2021. https://www.unescap.org/sites/default/d8files/2022-01/EDD_Integrating-SDGs-into-action-Final.pdf

United Nations Environment Programme. Terminal Evaluation of the UNEP/GEF Project: Development of a Plan for Global Monitoring of Human Exposure to and Environmental Concentrations of Mercury. Nairobi, 2021. <https://wedocs.unep.org/handle/20.500.11822/36612>

United Nations Environment Programme. Terminal Evaluation of the UNEP/ IETC Project on Waste Management: °Global Waste Management Outlook°. Nairobi, 2021. <https://wedocs.unep.org/handle/20.500.11822/35925>

United Nations Industrial Development Organization. Global eco-industrial parks programme (GEIPP) (UNIDO project No. 170222). Vienna, 2021. <https://uneval.org/evaluation/reports/detail/41800>

United Nations Industrial Development Organization. Development and implementation of a sustainable management mechanism for POPs in the Caribbean. Vienna, 2023. [https://downloads.unido.org/ot/31/41/31416846/Evaluation%20report%20on%20Development%20and%20Implementation%20of%20a%20Sustainable%20Management%20Mechanism%20for%20POPs%20in%20the%20Caribbean%20\(UNIDO%20project%20No.%20150049\).pdf](https://downloads.unido.org/ot/31/41/31416846/Evaluation%20report%20on%20Development%20and%20Implementation%20of%20a%20Sustainable%20Management%20Mechanism%20for%20POPs%20in%20the%20Caribbean%20(UNIDO%20project%20No.%20150049).pdf)

United Nations Institute for Training and Research (UNITAR). Final evaluation of the IOMC Toolbox for decision making in chemicals management – Phase III: From design to action project. Geneva, 2023. <https://unitar.org/results-evidence-learning/evaluation/final-evaluation-iomc-toolbox-decision-making-chemicals-management-phase-iii-design-action-project>

A2.10. Systematic reviews reporting interventions for climate change resilience and adaptive capacity

Ategeka, J.; Konci, G.; Laurenz, L.; Muller, M.; Nduku, P.; Pampolina, J.; Prowse, M.; Reumann, A. (2024). Coastal and terrestrial water sector interventions in developing countries: A systematic review. <https://ieu.greenclimate.fund/sites/default/files/page/evidence-review-coastal-and-terrestrial-water-sector-interventions-developing-countries-22-08-2023.html>

Bizikova, L.; Nkonya, E.; Minah, M.; Hanisch, M.; Rao, T.; Rama, M.; Speranza, C.; Karthikeyan, M.; Tang, L.; Ghezzi-Kopel, K.; Kelly, J.; Celestin, A.C.; Timmers, B. (2020). A scoping review of the contributions of farmers' organizations to smallholder agriculture. *Nature Food*, 1, 620–630. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-020-00164-x>

Blom, I.M.; Elissa, M.; Mattijssen, J.C.; Sana, H.; Haines, A.; Whitmee, S. (2024). Effectiveness of Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Intervention for Health-Care Systems: A Systematic Review. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 102, 159-175B-59-175B. <https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.23.290464>

Call, M.; Sellers, S. (2019). How Does Gendered Vulnerability Shape the Adoption and Impact of Sustainable Livelihood Interventions in an Era of Global Climate Change? *Environmental Research Letters*, 14(8), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab2f57>

Castle, S.E.; Miller, D.C.; Ordonez, P.J.; Baylis, K.; Hughes, K. (2021). The Impacts of Agroforestry Interventions on Agricultural Productivity, Ecosystem Services, and Human Well-Being in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review. *Campbell Systematic Reviews*, 17(2), 1–52. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cl2.1167>

Dula, E.; Snelder, D.; van Wesenbeeck, C.F.A.; de Cock Buning, T. (2022). Review of the Effectiveness of Smallholder Farmers' Adaptation to Climate Change and Variability in Developing Countries. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 65(5), 759–784. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09640568.2021.1905620>

Green Climate Fund – Independent Evaluation Unit (GCF IEU). Access to credit as a determinant of autonomous adaptation to climate change – A meta-analysis of the evidence in low- and middle-income countries. Incheon, 2021.

Kappes, P.J.; Benkwitt, C.E.; Spatz, D.R.; Wolf, C.A.; Will, D.J.; Holmes, N.D. (2021). Do Invasive Mammal Eradications from Islands Support Climate Change Adaptation and Mitigation? *Climate*, 9(12), 172. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cli9120172>

Maryono, M.; Killoes, A.M.; Adhikari, R.; Aziz, A.A. (2024). Agriculture Development through Multi-stakeholder Partnerships in Developing Countries: A Systematic Literature Review. *Agricultural Systems*, 213. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agry.2023.103792>

Owen, G. (2020). What makes climate change adaptation effective? A systematic review of the literature. *Global Environmental Change*, 62, 102071. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2020.102071>

Shaffril, H.A.M.; Krauss, S.E.; Samsuddin, S.F. (2018). A systematic review on Asian's farmers' adaptation practices towards climate change. *Science of The Total Environment*, 644, 683–695. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.06.349>

Yeung, T.; Tlhotlhamaje, L.; Roman, C.; Rastogi, A.; Prowse, M. (2024). Realist review: Just transition towards low emission, climate resilient and more inclusive societies in developing countries. <https://ieu.greenclimate.fund/document/realist-review-just-transition>

A2.11. Evaluation reports reporting project or programme contributions to climate change resilience and adaptive capacity

Adaptation Fund. Synthesis of Adaptation Fund Final Evaluations. Washington D.C. 2021. https://www.adaptation-fund.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/2021-AF-TERG_Synthesis-of-Adaptation-Fund-Final-Evaluations-FINAL.pdf

Adaptation Fund. Rapid Evaluation of the Adaptation Fund. Washington D.C. 2023. https://www.adaptation-fund.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/08/AFB.EFC_.32.6.Rev_.2_Rapid-evaluation-Rev.2.pdf

Adaptation Fund. Evaluation of the scalability. Washington. D.C. 2024. https://www.adaptation-fund.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/04/EFC_33_12.pdf

Adaptation Fund. Second Synthesis of the Evaluations in the Adaptation Fund. Washington D.C. 2024. <https://www.adaptation-fund.org/document/second-phase-overall-evaluation-fund/>

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Evaluation of FAO's contribution to the Republic of Indonesia 2016–2020. Rome. 2021. <http://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/cb5523en>

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Evaluation of FAO's contribution to Nigeria 2016–2022. Rome. 2023. <https://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/cc6973en>

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Evaluation of FAO's contribution to Jordan 2017–2021. Rome. 2022. <https://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/cc2662en>

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Evaluation of the FAO Regional Office for Latin America and the Caribbean 2017–2020. Rome. 2021. <https://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/cb7341en>

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Evaluation of FAO's support to climate action (SDG 13) and the implementation of the FAO Strategy on Climate Change (2017). Rome. 2021. <https://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/cb3738en>

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Review of FAO's Country Programme in Eritrea 2017–2021. Rome. 2021. <https://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/cb7944en>

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Evaluation of FAO's Subregional Office for the Caribbean 2018–2022. Rome. 2024. <https://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/cc9260en>

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Evaluation of FAO's Multi-Country Programme in the Pacific Islands. Rome. 2022. <https://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/cc3255en>

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Evaluation of FAO's Country Programme in the Republic of Ghana. Rome. 2023. <https://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/cc7978en>

Global Environment Facility Independent Evaluation Office (GEF IEO). Evaluation of GEF Engagement with Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs). Washington. D.C. 2021. <https://www.gefio.org/evaluations/msme>

Global Environment Facility Independent Evaluation Office (GEF IEO). Programme Evaluation of the Special Climate Change Fund (SCCF) 2021. Washington. D.C. 2021. <https://www.gefio.org/evaluations/sccf-2021>

Global Environment Facility Independent Evaluation Office (GEF IEO). GEF support to innovation: Findings and lessons. Washington. D.C. 2021. <https://www.gefio.org/evaluations/innovation>

Global Environment Facility Independent Evaluation Office (GEF IEO). Results Based Management (RBM)—Evaluations of the Agency Self-Evaluation Systems and the GEF Portal. Washington. D.C. 2021. <https://www.gefio.org/evaluations/rbm-2023>

Global Environment Facility Independent Evaluation Office (GEF IEO). Evaluation of the GEF Country Support Program. Washington. D.C. 2021. <https://www.gefio.org/evaluations/csp>

Global Environment Facility Independent Evaluation Office (GEF IEO). Formative evaluation of the GEF Integrated Approach to address the drivers of environmental degradation. Washington. D.C. 2021. <https://www.gefio.org/evaluations/environmental-degradation>

Global Environment Facility Independent Evaluation Office (GEF IEO). Climate Risk and Early Warning Systems Initiative Initial Phase External Evaluation. Washington. D.C. 2022. <https://www.gefio.org/evaluations/ciews-2024>

Green Climate Fund – Independent Evaluation Unit (GCF IEU). Independent evaluation of the adaptation portfolio and approach of the Green Climate Fund. Incheon. 2021. <https://ieu.greenclimate.fund/document/independent-evaluation-adaptation-portfolio-and-approach-green-climate-fund>

Green Climate Fund – Independent Evaluation Unit (GCF IEU). Independent evaluation of the relevance and effectiveness of the GCF's investments in the LDCs. Incheon. 2022.

<https://ieu.greenclimate.fund/document/final-report-independent-evaluation-relevance-and-effectiveness-green-climate-funds>

Green Climate Fund – Independent Evaluation Unit (GCF IEU). Independent synthesis of direct access in the Green Climate Fund. Incheon. 2023.

<https://ieu.greenclimate.fund/document/final-report-independent-synthesis-direct-access-green-climate-fund>

Green Climate Fund – Independent Evaluation Unit (GCF IEU). Independent evaluation of the relevance and effectiveness of the GCF's investments in the African States.

Incheon. 2023. <https://ieu.greenclimate.fund/document/final-report-independent-evaluation-relevance-and-effectiveness-green-climate-funds-0>

Green Climate Fund – Independent Evaluation Unit (GCF IEU). Second Performance Review (SPR) of the Green Climate Fund. Incheon. 2023.

<https://ieu.greenclimate.fund/document/final-report-second-performance-review-green-climate-fund>

International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD). Infrastructure at IFAD (2001–2019). 2021. https://ioe.ifad.org/en/w/infrastructure-at-ifad-2001-2019-?p_1_back_url=%2Fen%2Flatest-reports%3Fdelta%3D20%26start%3D2

International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD). Malawi Country Strategy and Programme Evaluation. Rome. 2021. https://ioe.ifad.org/en/w/malawi-country-strategy-and-programme-evaluation?p_1_back_url=%2Fen%2Flatest-reports%3Fdelta%3D20%26start%3D2

International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD). Thematic Evaluation of IFAD's Support for Smallholder Farmers' Adaptation to Climate Change. Rome. 2023. <https://ioe.ifad.org/en/w/thematic-evaluation-of-ifad-s-support-for-smallholder-farmers-adaptation-to-climate-change>

International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD). Indonesia Country Strategy and Programme Evaluation. 2023. https://ioe.ifad.org/en/w/indonesia-country-strategy-and-programme-evaluation-1?p_1_back_url=%2Fen%2Flatest-reports%3Fdelta%3D20%26start%3D1

International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD). Sub-Regional Evaluation of Countries with Fragile Situations in IFAD-WCA: Learning from Experiences of IFAD's Engagement in the G5 Sahel Countries and Northern Nigeria. Rome. 2023.

<https://ioe.ifad.org/en/w/subregional-evaluation-of-countries-with-fragile-situations-in-ifad-wca-learning-from-experiences-of-ifad-s-engagement-in-the-g5-sahel-countries-and-northern-nigeria>

International Organization for Migration (IOM). Case Studies of Best Practice Evaluations by UN Agencies in Asia and the Pacific. Geneva. 2022.
<https://evaluation.iom.int/report/case-studies-best-practice-evaluations-un-agencies-asia-and-pacific>

International Organization for Migration (IOM). Midterm Evaluation of IOM East and Horn of Africa Regional Strategy 2020–2024. Geneva. 2023.
<https://evaluation.iom.int/report/midterm-evaluation-iom-east-and-horn-africa-regional-strategy-2020-2024>

International Organization for Migration (IOM). Pacific Adaptation through Labour Mobility in the Low-Lying Atoll States of Kiribati, Marshall Islands and Tuvalu. Geneva. 2021. <https://evaluation.iom.int/report/pacific-adaptation-through-labour-mobility-lowlying-atoll-states-kiribati-marshall-islands>

International Organization for Migration (IOM). Final internal evaluation: Enhancing the capacity to mainstream environment and climate change within wider framework of migration management in West and Central Africa. Geneva. 2022.
<https://evaluation.iom.int/report/final-internal-evaluation-enhancing-capacity-mainstream-environment-and-climate-change>

International Organization for Migration (IOM). Climate Security in the Pacific Project. Geneva. 2023. <https://evaluation.iom.int/report/climate-security-pacific-project>

UN Women. Evaluation of UN Women's crisis response in Asia and the Pacific. New York. 2022. <https://gate.unwomen.org/Evaluation/Details?evaluationId=11538>

UN Women. Final evaluation: Climate Smart Agriculture project funded by Standard Bank [in Uganda, Malawi, South Africa, Nigeria]. New York. 2022.
<https://gate.unwomen.org/Evaluation/Details?evaluationId=11371>

United Nations. National Urban Policy Programme Evaluation Report 2014–2021. New York. 2022. https://unhabitat.org/sites/default/files/2022/11/nupp_evaluation_report_-_rmit_university_revised.pdf

United Nations. Government of Mongolia and United Nations Development Assistance Framework (2017–2022). Ulaanbaatar. 2022. https://minio.uninfo.org/uninfo-production-main/8fb4e04a-0596-41b1-a567-de8b17fcad3e_1_IRIM-UNDAF_Evaluation_Report-Final-25_February_2022.pdf

United Nations. Final evaluation of the Thailand UNDAF (2017–2021). Bangkok. 2021.
https://minio.uninfo.org/uninfo-production-main/822f2083-400c-4f2d-a5ab-be2f192a5271_Thailand_UNPAF_Lessons_Learned_Report_211021_revpdf

United Nations. Final Evaluation of the United Nations Development Assistance Framework (UNDAF) for the Kyrgyz Republic 2018-2022. New York. 2021.
<https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/9859>

United Nations. Evaluation of The United Nations Sustainable Development Framework 2018-2022 in Sri Lanka. New York. 2022. https://minio.uninfo.org/uninfo-production-main/684443ba-a2b2-48d9-bbdb-9c6893d8736b_UNSDF_20182022_Evaluation_Report.pdf

United Nations. United Nations Pacific Strategy (UNPS) 2018–2022 Final Evaluation Report. New York. 2022. https://minio.uninfo.org/uninfo-production-main/c86a294d-d81b-477c-810e-9d1220eca444_UNPS_Final_Evaluation_Report.pdf

United Nations. Final evaluation of the Republic of Moldova–United Nations Partnership Framework for Sustainable Development 2018–2022. Chisinau. 2021.
<https://moldova.un.org/en/177554-final-evaluation-republic-moldova%E2%80%93united-nations-partnership-framework-sustainable>

United Nations. Report of the Evaluation of the Government of India – UN Sustainable Development Framework 2018–2022. New York. 2022. https://minio.uninfo.org/uninfo-production-main/f056b7b4-9fd7-4be7-b9bd-e311af720341_2023_India_UNSDF_2018-22_Evaluation_report.pdf

United Nations. Evaluation Report of United Nations Sustainable Development Partnership Framework (UNSDPF) 2019-2023 for Bhutan. New York. 2023.
https://minio.uninfo.org/uninfo-production-main/aa2ae5cc-41ce-4b44-abe3-f1820df2d9e3_UNSDPF_2019-2023_Evaluation_Report_June_2023.pdf

United Nations. Lesotho United Nations Development Assistance Framework (UNDAF 2019–2023) Evaluation. New York. 2023. https://minio.uninfo.org/uninfo-production-main/684443ba-a2b2-48d9-bbdb-9c6893d8736b_Final_Lesotho_UNDAF_Evaluation_Report_18052023.pdf

United Nations. Evaluation of the United Nations Development Assistance Framework (2019-2023) in Cambodia. New York. 2023.
https://minio.uninfo.org/uninfo-production-main/06634ea1-c6d4-4a72-b19b-a4fb2848e376_UNDAF_Cambodia_Evaluation_Report_FINAL.pdf

United Nations. Evaluation of the Government of Seychelles & United Nations Strategic Partnership Framework (SPF) 2019-2023. New York. 2023.
https://minio.uninfo.org/uninfo-production-main/0d9af829-a7be-426b-9243-21c00167b264_Final_Evaluation_UN_Gov_Seychelles_SPF_Reportpdf

United Nations. Evaluation of the Government of Mauritius & United Nations Strategic Partnership Framework (SPF) 2019-2023. New York. 2023.

https://minio.uninfo.org/uninfo-production-main/684443ba-a2b2-48d9-bbdb-9c6893d8736b_Final_Evaluation_UN_Gov_Mauritius_SPF_Report.pdf

United Nations. Final evaluation of Joint Programme ‘Enhancing Resilience and Acceleration of the SDGs in the Eastern Caribbean’ 2020–2022. New York. 2022.

<https://www.uneval.org/evaluation/reports/detail/42068>

United Nations. Evaluation of The United Nations Sustainable Development Cooperation Framework (UNSDCF) 2020-2024 End of Cycle. Sierra Leone. New York. 2024.

https://minio.uninfo.org/uninfo-production-main/8290814b-6ff1-411b-9c4d-b49e5ebf8d6e_UNSDCF%20EvaluationSierra%20LeoneFinal.docx

United Nations. UNDP Mid-Term Performance Review. New York. 2021.

<https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/11253>

United Nations. Extending social protection to herders with enhanced shock responsiveness in Mongolia (United Nations Joint Programme on Social Protection for Herders. Mongolia). New York. 2022.

<https://www.uneval.org/evaluation/reports/detail/42065>

United Nations. Ensuring Inclusive and Risk-informed and Shockresponsive Social Protection resulting in more Resilient Communities in the Bangsamoro Autonomous Region of Muslim Mindanao. New York. 2022.

<https://www.uneval.org/evaluation/reports/detail/42061>

United Nations. Evaluation of Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific: Subprogramme 4. Environment and development. New York. 2023.

<https://oios.un.org/file/9769/download?token=jI3MF99m>

United Nations. Thematic Evaluation of Secretariat Support for the Sustainable Development Goals. New York. 2023. <https://oios.un.org/file/9823/download?token=l-Gta1A8>

United Nations. Evaluation of the incorporation of climate-related security risks by United Nations Peace Operations. New York. 2023.

<https://oios.un.org/file/9754/download?token=0xYt6SbO>

United Nations. Thematic Global Evaluation of the Joint SDG Fund’s SDG Financing Enabling Environmental Portfolio. New York. 2024. □ United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO). Thematic Synthesis of Independent Evaluations of UNIDO Renewable Energy Projects from 2016 to 2020. Vienna. 2021.

United Nations Capital Development Fund (UNCDF). Final evaluation of the Local Climate Adaptive Living (LoCAL) Facility. New York. 2023.

<https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/9955>

United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Evaluation of the UNICEF ECA Multi-Country Programme 2017-2021. New York. 2021.

<https://www.unicef.org/evaluation/reports#/detail/16979>

United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Strategic Positioning Evaluation of UNICEF Country Programme in Maldives. New York. 2021.

<https://www.unicef.org/evaluation/reports#/detail/17394>

United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Review of UNICEF Nepal Country Programme. New York. 2023. <https://www.unicef.org/evaluation/reports#/detail/18879>

United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Country Programme Evaluation of UNICEF Bhutan country programme. New York. 2024.

<https://www.unicef.org/evaluation/reports#/detail/18998>

United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Joint Independent Common Country Programme Evaluation: The Republic of Cabo Verde. New York. 2022.

<https://www.unicef.org/evaluation/reports#/detail/18881>

United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Malawi Country Programme Evaluation. New York. 2023. <https://www.unicef.org/evaluation/reports#/detail/18246>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). UN Mozambique 2017–2021 UNDAF Evaluation. New York. 2021.

<https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/9576>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). End-Term Evaluation of the UNDP Sri Lanka Country Programme (2018-2022). New York. 2021.

<https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/9577>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). UNDP Asia Pacific Overall Regional Programme Mid-term Review. New York. 2021.

<https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/9875>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Third Joint GEF–UNDP Evaluation of the Small Grants Programme. New York. 2021.

<https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/13051>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). UNDP Eritrea Country Programme Document (CPD). New York. 2021.

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Sao Tome and Principe CPD Final Evaluation. New York. 2022. <https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/9228>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). CPD Independent Evaluation. New York. 2022. <https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/13204>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Supporting Resilient Livelihoods and Food Security in Yemen Joint Programme (ERRY II) — Through UNDP: Final Evaluation. New York. 2022. https://www.undp.org/sites/g/files/zskgke326/files/2022-10/Impact%20Assessment%20Report_ERRY%20II_Final_0.pdf

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). UNDP The Gambia Final CPD Evaluation. New York. 2022. <https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/13360>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). UNDP Support to Energy Access and Transition. New York. 2022. <https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/13379>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Mid-term Review of the Regional Programme for Arab States 2022–2025. New York. 2024. <https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/13915>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Partnership for Action on Green Economy. New York. 2023. https://mptf.undp.org/sites/default/files/documents/2023-07/pims_id_01659_02032_2023_partnership_for_action_on_green_economy_page_evaluation.pdf

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Evaluation of UNDP Suriname Country Programme 2017–2021. New York. 2021. <https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/8742>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Final evaluation of Country Programme Document for Thailand 2017–2021. New York. 2021. <https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/8799>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Evaluation of the United Nations Development Assistance Framework (UNDAF) 2018–2022. New York. 2022. <https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/9859>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Final Evaluation RP 2018–2021. New York. 2021. <https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/9787>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Final evaluation of Cabo Verde UNDAF 2018–2022. New York. 2022. <https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/9340>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Final evaluation of CPD for 2018 to 2022. New York. 2022. <https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/units/162>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Evaluation of UNDP support to climate change adaptation. New York. 2021.
<https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/9525?tab=management-response>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Independent Country Programme Evaluation: Peru. New York. 2021. <https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/units/209>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Independent Country Programme Evaluation: Nigeria. New York. 2021.
<https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/documents/download/19878>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Independent Country Programme Evaluation: Ecuador. New York. 2021.
<https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/documents/download/19716>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Independent Country Programme Evaluation: Ghana. New York. 2021. <https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/units/118>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Independent Country Programme Evaluation: Nepal. New York. 2021.
<https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/documents/download/20031>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Independent Country Programme Evaluation: Kenya. New York. 2022.
<https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/documents/download/20072>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Independent Country Programme Evaluation: Guinea. New York. 2022.
https://www.undp.org/sites/g/files/zskgke326/files/2023-12/%2AdpdcGIN-4%20FINAL_0.pdf

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Financing the Recovery: A formative evaluation of UNDP's response to the COVID-19 pandemic and SDG financing. New York. 2022. <https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/13380>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Independent country programme evaluation: Egypt. New York. 2022.
<https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/documents/download/20032>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Independent Subregional Programme Evaluation: Pacific Countries. New York. 2022.
<https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/13393>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Independent Country Programme Evaluation: Bhutan. New York. 2022.

<https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/15147>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Independent Country Programme Evaluation: India. New York. 2022.

<https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/documents/download/21433>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Independent Country Programme Evaluation: Lesotho. New York. 2022.

<https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/13394>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Independent Country Programme Evaluation: Benin. New York. 2022.

<https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/documents/download/22475>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Independent Country Programme Evaluation: Namibia. New York. 2022.

<https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/documents/download/24249>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Independent Country Programme Evaluation: Philippines. New York. 2022.

<https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/documents/download/24377>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Independent Country Programme Evaluation: Senegal. New York. 2022.

<https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/documents/download/24018>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Independent Country Programme Evaluation: Malawi. New York. 2022.

<https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/documents/download/23882>

United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE). Strengthening National Capacities of the UNECE Countries for Evidence-Based Regulatory and Procedural Trade Policies to Achieve the SDGs. Geneva. 2021.

<https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2021-12/Evaluation%20Report%20-%20Strengthening%20National%20Capacities%20of%20the%20UNECE%20Countries%20for%20Evidence-Based%20Regulatory%20and%20Procedural%20Trade%20Policies%20to%20Achieve%20the%20SDGs.pdf>

United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE). Moving to Carbon Neutrality in the UNECE Region. New York. 2023.

<https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2023-06/Evaluation%20Report%20-%20Moving%20to%20Carbon%20Neutrality%20in%20the%20UNECE%20Region.pdf>

United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE). Review of the UNECE's Role to Support the Implementation of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. in the Context of the UN Development System Reform. Geneva. 2023.

<https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2023-06/Evaluation%20Report%20-%20Review%20of%20the%20UNECE%27s%20Role%20to%20Support%20the%20Implementation%20of%20the%202030%20Agenda%20for%20Sustainable%20Development%20in%20the%20Context%20of%20the%20UN%20Development%20System%20Reform.pdf>

United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (UN ESCAP). Evaluation of the implementation of the Asia-Pacific Plan of Action on Space Applications for Sustainable Development (2018–2030) in its Phase I (2018-2022). New York. 2022. <https://www.unescap.org/sites/default/d8files/2022-10/ESCAP-evaluation-report-on-PoA-Space-Application.pdf>

United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (UN ESCAP). Supporting Countries in Asia-Pacific to Meet Commitments to the Paris Agreement. New York. 2023. https://www.unescap.org/sites/default/d8files/2023-06/Paris-Agreement-project-evaluation_final_formatted.pdf:contentReference[oaicite:3]{index=3}

United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (UN ESCAP). Operationalizing Disaster Risk Reduction and Resilience-Building in Asia and the Pacific. New York. 2024.

<https://repository.unescap.org/handle/20.500.12870/6996ci.cgai.udg.mx+2>

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO). Evaluation of UNESCO's Operational Strategy for Priority Africa (2014–2021). Paris. 2021. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000376801>

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO). Evaluation of UNESCO's Strategy for Action on Climate Change (2018–2021). Paris. 2021. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000378486>

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO). Evaluation of the strategic positioning of IOC-UNESCO. Paris. 2021. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000379054>

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO). UNESCO Synthetic Review of Evaluations 2021. Paris. 2021. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000378938>

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO). Evaluation of the UNESCO's Local and Indigenous Knowledge Systems (LINKS) Programme. Paris. 2022. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000383956>

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO). 2023 Synthesis of UNESCO evaluations. New York. 2023. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000386939>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Angola Country Programme Document Evaluation. New York. 2021. <https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/13348>

United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). Terminal Evaluation of the UNEP/UNDP/GEF Project: Capacity Building Initiative for Transparency (CBIT) Global Coordination Platform (GCP) (2017–2019). Nairobi. 2021. <https://www.gefio.org/sites/default/files/documents/projects/tes/9675-terminal-evaluation.pdf>

United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). Independent review of UNEP's contributions to poverty reduction. on behalf of Sida: Synthesis report. Nairobi. 2021. https://www.greenpolicyplatform.org/sites/default/files/downloads/resource/PEA-PEI-Others-9_UNEP%20Sida%20Poverty%20Review%20Final.pdf

United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR). Zimbabwe Country Strategy Evaluation (2019–2022). Geneva. 2023. <https://www.unhcr.org/sites/default/files/2023-07/evaluation-report-zimbabwe-country-strategy-evaluation-2019-2022.pdf>

United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR). Evaluation of UNHCR's L3 Emergency Response to Cyclone Idai. Geneva. 2021. <https://www.unhcr.org/media/evaluation-unhcrs-l3-emergency-response-cyclone-idai>

United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO). Promoting Accelerated Transfer and Scaled-Up Deployment of Mitigation Technologies through the Climate Technology Centre and Network (CTCN) (UNIDO project No. 140307; GEF ID: 5832). New York. 2022. https://downloads.unido.org/ot/36/69/36691186/EvalRep_140307_GLO_CTCN_TE-2020.pdf

United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO). Integrated Solutions for Water, Energy and Land (ISWEL) (UNIDO project No. 140312; GEF ID: 6993). New York. 2021. https://www.unido.org/sites/default/files/files/2021-07/EvalRep_GFGLO-140312_Energy%20Water%20and%20Land_TE-2020.pdf

United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO). Independent terminal evaluation: Generating energy capacity from geothermal power generation and its related technologies for sustainable development (UNIDO Project No. 170046). Vienna. 2024.
https://downloads.unido.org/ot/36/59/36596945/EvalRep_170046_GLO_TE-2023.pdf

United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO). Parliamentary Action on Renewable Energy (PARE) (UNIDO project No. 190106). New York. 2023.
<https://downloads.unido.org/ot/30/51/30515552/Evaluation%20report%20on%20the%20Renewable%20Energy%20%28PARE%29%20project%20%282023%29.pdf>

United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO). Synthesis of UNIDO Independent Evaluations 2018–2022. Vienna. 2023.
<https://downloads.unido.org/ot/31/11/31119647/Evaluation%20Report%20on%20Synthesis%20of%20UNIDO%20Independent%20Evaluations%202018-2022%20%282023%29.pdf> UNIDO Downloads+11

United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO). Start-Up and First Operational Phase of the Caribbean Centre for Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency (CCREEE) – A Centre of Excellence to Promote Inclusive and Sustainable Energy Industries. New York. 2023.
<https://downloads.unido.org/ot/31/33/31336167/Evaluation%20report%20on%20Start-up%20and%20first%20operational%20phase%20of%20the%20Caribbean%20Centre%20for%20Renewable%20Energy%20and%20Energy%20Efficiency%20%28CCREEE%29.pdf>

United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO). Hosting and managing the Private Financing Advisory Network (PFAN). Vienna. 2023.
<https://downloads.unido.org/ot/31/38/31383237/Evaluation%20report%20on%20Hosting%20and%20Managing%20Private%20Financing%20Advisory%20Network.pdf>

United Nations Peacebuilding Support Office (PBSO). Climate Security and Peacebuilding—Thematic Review. New York. 2023.
<https://www.un.org/peacebuilding/content/thematic-review-climate-security-and-peacebuilding>

United Nations Sustainable Development Group (UNSDG). System-Wide Evaluation of the UNDS Socio-Economic Response to COVID-19. New York. 2022.
<https://www.gefio.org/sites/default/files/documents/projects/tes/9675-terminal-evaluation.pdf>

United Nations Sustainable Development Group (UNSDG). System-Wide Evaluation of the Joint SDG Fund. New York. 2022.

https://www.greenpolicyplatform.org/sites/default/files/downloads/resource/PEA-PEI-Others-9_UNEP%20Sida%20Poverty%20Review%20Final.pdf

World Food Programme (WFP). Evaluation of Sri Lanka WFP Country Strategic Plan 2018-2022. Rome. 2022. <https://www.wfp.org/publications/evaluation-sri-lanka-wfp-country-strategic-plan-2018-2022>

World Food Programme (WFP). Evaluation of Bhutan WFP Country Strategic Plan 2019-2023. Rome. 2023. <https://www.wfp.org/publications/evaluation-bhutan-wfp-country-strategic-plan-2019-2023>

World Food Programme (WFP). Evaluation of WFP's policy on building resilience for food security and nutrition. Rome. 2023. <https://www.wfp.org/publications/evaluation-wfps-policy-building-resilience-food-security-and-nutrition>

World Food Programme (WFP). Evaluation of WFP's disaster risk reduction and management and climate change policies. Rome. 2023. <https://www.wfp.org/publications/evaluation-wfps-disaster-risk-reduction-and-management-and-climate-change-policies>

World Health Organization (WHO). Evaluation of WHO's Thirteenth General Programme of Work. Geneva. 2023. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240095090>

World Meteorological Organization (WMO). Impact of training programme on climate change adaptation and disaster risk reduction in agriculture. Geneva. 2021. <https://library.wmo.int/idurl/4/54335>

A2.12. Systematic reviews reporting interventions for marine and coastal ecosystems

Ategeka, J.; Konci, G.; Laurenz, L.; Muller, M.; Nduku, P.; Pampolina, J.; Prowse, M.; Reumann, A. (2024). Coastal and terrestrial water sector interventions in developing countries: A systematic review. <https://ieu.greenclimate.fund/sites/default/files/page/evidence-review-coastal-and-terrestrial-water-sector-interventions-developing-countries-22-08-2023.html>

Brooks, J.; Waylen, K.A.; Mulder, M.B. (2013). Assessing Community-Based Conservation Projects: A Systematic Review And Multilevel Analysis Of Attitudinal, Behavioral, Ecological, And Economic Outcomes. *Environmental Evidence*, 2(2). <https://doi.org/10.1186/2047-2382-2-2>

Carstensen, M.V.; Hashemi, F.; Hoffmann, C.C.; Zak, D.; Audet, J.; Kronvang, B. (2020). Efficiency of mitigation measures targeting nutrient losses from agricultural

drainage systems: A review. *Ambio*, 49(11), 1820–1837. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-020-01345-5>

Davidson, I.C.; Cott, G.M.; Devaney, J.L.; Simkanin, C. (2018). Differential effects of biological invasions on coastal blue carbon: A global review and meta-analysis. *Global Change Biology*, 24(11), 5218–5230. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14426>

Evans, L.; Cherrett, N.; Pems, D. (2011). Assessing the impact of fisheries co-management interventions in developing countries: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 92(8), 1938–1949. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2011.03.010>

Morris, R.L.; Konlechner, T.M.; Ghisalberti, M.; Swearer, S.E. (2018). From grey to green: Efficacy of eco-engineering solutions for nature-based coastal defence. *Global Change Biology*, 24(5), 1827–1842. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14063>

Roe, D.; Francesca, B.; Day, M.; Zhou, W.; Allebone-Webb, S.; Hill, N.A.O.; Kumpel, N.; Petrokofsky, G.; Redford, K.; Russell, D.; Shepherd, G.; Wright, J.; Sunderland, T.C.H. (2015). Are Alternative Livelihood Projects Effective At Reducing Local Threats To Specified Elements Of Biodiversity And/Or Improving Or Maintaining The Conservation Status Of Those Elements? *Environmental Evidence*, 3(6). <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/s13750-015-0048-1>

Su, J.; Friess, D.A.; Gasparatos, A. (2021). A Meta-Analysis of the Ecological and Economic Outcomes of Mangrove Restoration. *Nature Communications*, 12, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-25349-1>

A2.13. Evaluation reports reporting project or programme contributions to marine and coastal ecosystems

United Nations. Evaluation of the Government of Mauritius & United Nations Strategic Partnership Framework (SPF) 2019–2023. New York, 2023. https://minio.uninfo.org/uninfo-production-main/684443ba-a2b2-48d9-bbdb-9c6893d8736b_Final_Evaluation_UN_Gov_Mauritius_SPF_Report.pdf

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO). Evaluation of the Strategic Positioning of IOC-UNESCO. Paris, 2021. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000379054?posInSet=4&queryId=8ddf5393-b1b1-4c82-8e86-243359f7205c>

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO). Evaluation of UNESCO's Strategy for Action on Climate Change (2018–2021). Paris, 2021. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000378455/PDF/378455eng.pdf.multi>

Global Environment Facility Independent Evaluation Office (GEF IEO). Formative Evaluation of the GEF Integrated Approach to Address the Drivers of Environmental Degradation. Washington, D.C., 2021.

<https://www.gefio.org/evaluations/environmental-degradation>

United Nations Development Programme. Independent Subregional Programme Evaluation: Pacific Countries. New York, 2022.

<https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/13393>

United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Joint Independent Common Country Programme Evaluation: The Republic of Cabo Verde. New York, 2022.

<https://www.unicef.org/evaluation/reports#/detail/18881>

United Nations. United Nations Pacific Strategy (UNPS) 2018–2022: Final Evaluation Report. New York, 2022. https://minio.uninfo.org/uninfo-production-main/c86a294d-d81b-477c-810e-9d1220eca444_UNPS_Final_Evaluation_Report.pdf

A2.14. Systematic reviews reporting interventions for sustainable fishing

Barrett, L.T.; Swearer, S.E.; Dempster, T. (2019). Impacts of marine and freshwater aquaculture on wildlife: A global meta-analysis. *Reviews in Aquaculture*, 11(4), 1022–1044. <https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12277>

Brooks, J.; Waylen, K.A.; Mulder, M.B. (2013). Assessing Community-Based Conservation Projects: A Systematic Review And Multilevel Analysis Of Attitudinal, Behavioral, Ecological, And Economic Outcomes. *Environmental Evidence*, 2(2). <https://doi.org/10.1186/2047-2382-2-2>

Castle, S.E.; Miller, D.C.; Ordonez, P.J.; Baylis, K.; Hughes, K. (2021). The Impacts of Agroforestry Interventions on Agricultural Productivity, Ecosystem Services, and Human Well-Being in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review. *Campbell Systematic Reviews*, 17(2), 1–52. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cl2.1167>

Evans, L.; Cherrett, N.; Pems, D. (2011). Assessing the impact of fisheries co-management interventions in developing countries: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 92(8), 1938–1949. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2011.03.010>

Hellebrandt, D.; Sikor, T.; Hooper, L.; Daw, T. (2011). Is the use of renewable natural resources in the developing world more or less sustainable, pro-poor and profitable under controlled access compared to open access? (CEE Review 10-009). London: Collaboration for Environmental Evidence.

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S2211467X12000387>

Hett, C.; Aye, Z.C.; Gironde, C.; Beban, A.; Castella, J.; Bernhard, R.; Ehrensperger, A. (2023). Agroecological initiatives in the Mekong Region: A systematic literature review and mapping reveals their implications for transitioning to sustainable food systems. *Sustainability Science*, 18(1), 334–355. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-022-01239-4>

Morris, R.L.; Konlechner, T.M.; Ghisalberti, M.; Swearer, S.E. (2018). From grey to green: Efficacy of eco-engineering solutions for nature-based coastal defence. *Global Change Biology*, 24(5), 1827–1842. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14063>

Ojanen, M.; Zhou, W.; Miller, D.C.; Nieto, S.H.; Mshale, B.; Petrokofsky, G. (2017). What are the environmental impacts of property rights regimes in forests, fisheries and rangelands? *Environmental Evidence*, 6(1), 12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13750-017-0090-2>

Rytwinski, T.; Taylor, J.J.; Donaldson, L.A.; Britton, J.R.; Browne, D.R.; Gresswell, R.E.; Lintermans, M.; Prior, K.A.; Pellatt, M.G.; Vis, C.; Cooke, S.J. (2019). The effectiveness of non-native fish removal techniques in freshwater ecosystems: A systematic review. *Environmental Reviews*, 27(1), 71–94. <https://doi.org/10.1139/er-2018-0049>

Sievers, M.; Hale, R.; Parris, K.M.; Swearer, S.E. (2018). Impacts of human-induced environmental change in wetlands on aquatic animals. *Biological Reviews*, 93(1), 529–554. <https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12358>

Su, J.; Friess, D.A.; Gasparatos, A. (2021). A Meta-Analysis of the Ecological and Economic Outcomes of Mangrove Restoration. *Nature Communications*, 12, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-25349-1>

Thao, N.P.; Eales, J.; Lam, D.M.; Hien, V.T.; Garside, R. (2023). What are the Impacts of Activities Undertaken in UNESCO Biosphere Reserves on Socio-Economic Well-Being in South-East Asia? A Systematic Review. *Environmental Evidence*, 12(30). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13750-023-00322-1>

A2.15. Evaluation reports reporting project or programme contributions to sustainable fishing

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Evaluation of FAO's Contribution to Cabo Verde 2018–2022. Rome, 2023. <https://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/cc4445en>

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Evaluation of Projects Related to the Voluntary Guidelines on the Responsible Governance of Tenure of Land,

Fisheries and Forests in the Context of National Food Security Funded by Germany. Rome, 2021. <http://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/cb4876en>

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO). Evaluation of the Strategic Positioning of IOC-UNESCO. Paris, 2021. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000379054?posInSet=4&queryId=8ddf5393-b1b1-4c82-8e86-243359f7205c>

United Nations Development Programme. Independent Subregional Programme Evaluation: Pacific Countries. New York, 2022. <https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/13393>

United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Joint Independent Common Country Programme Evaluation: The Republic of Cabo Verde. New York, 2022. <https://www.unicef.org/evaluation/reports#/detail/18881>

A2.16. Systematic reviews reporting interventions for terrestrial and freshwater ecosystems

Acreman, M.; Smith, A.; Charters, L.; Tickner, D.; Opperman, J.; Acreman, S.; Edwards, F.; Sayers, P.; Chivava, F. (2021). Evidence for the Effectiveness of Nature-Based Solutions to Water Issues in Africa. *Environmental Research Letters*, 16(6), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac0210>

Ategeka, J.; Konci, G.; Laurenz, L.; Muller, M.; Nduku, P.; Pampolina, J.; Prowse, M.; Reumann, A. (2024). Coastal and terrestrial water sector interventions in developing countries: A systematic review. <https://ieu.greenclimate.fund/sites/default/files/page/evidence-review-coastal-and-terrestrial-water-sector-interventions-developing-countries-22-08-2023.html>

Brooks, J.; Waylen, K.A.; Mulder, M.B. (2013). Assessing Community-Based Conservation Projects: A Systematic Review And Multilevel Analysis Of Attitudinal, Behavioral, Ecological, And Economic Outcomes. *Environmental Evidence*, 2(2). <https://doi.org/10.1186/2047-2382-2-2>

Carstensen, M.V.; Hashemi, F.; Hoffmann, C.C.; Zak, D.; Audet, J.; Kronvang, B. (2020). Efficiency of mitigation measures targeting nutrient losses from agricultural drainage systems: A review. *Ambio*, 49(11), 1820–1837. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-020-01345-5>

Castle, S.E.; Miller, D.C.; Ordonez, P.J.; Baylis, K.; Hughes, K. (2021). The Impacts of Agroforestry Interventions on Agricultural Productivity, Ecosystem Services, and Human

Well-Being in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review. *Campbell Systematic Reviews*, 17(2), 1–52. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cl2.1167>

Chatterjee, N.; Nair, P.K.; Chakraborty, S.; Nair, V.D. (2018). Changes in soil carbon stocks across the Forest-Agroforest-Agriculture/Pasture continuum in various agroecological regions: A meta-analysis. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 266, 55–67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2018.07.014>

Coad, L.; Leverington, F.; Knights, K.; Geldmann, J.; Eassom, A.; Kapos, V.; Kingston, N.; de Lima, M.; Zamora, C.; Cuadros, I.; Nolte, C.; Burgess, N.D.; Hockings, M. (2015). Measuring impact of protected area management interventions: Current and future use of the global database of protected area management effectiveness. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 370, 20140281. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2014.0281>

Coetzee, B.W.; Gaston, K.J.; Chown, S.L. (2014). Local Scale Comparisons of Biodiversity as a Test for Global Protected Area Ecological Performance: A Meta-Analysis. *PLoS One*, 9(8), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0105824>

De, S.A.; Jacobson, M.G. (2017). Soil carbon sequestration in agroforestry systems: A meta-analysis. *Agroforestry Systems*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10457-017-0147-9>

DeLonge, M.; Basche, A. (2018). Managing grazing lands to improve soils and promote climate change adaptation and mitigation: A global synthesis. *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems*, 33(3), 267–278. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1742170517000588>

Doswald, N.; Pérez Marín, I.; Rodríguez Pérez, J.J.; Prowse, M.; Escamilla de León, R.; Sánchez Torrente, L.; de la Vega Franco, G. (2021). Effectiveness of climate change mitigation interventions in the private sector in developing countries: A synthetic review (IEU Working Paper No. 2011/08). Incheon: Green Climate Fund – Independent Evaluation Unit. <https://ieu.greenclimate.fund/document/psim-synthetic-review>

Dressler, W.; Wilson, D.; Clendenning, J.; Cramb, R.; Mahanty, S.; Lasco, R.; Keenan, R.; To, P.; Gevana, D. (2015). Examining How Long Fallow Swidden Systems Impact Upon Livelihood and Ecosystem Services Outcomes Compared with Alternative Land-uses in the Uplands of Southeast Asia. *Journal of Development Effectiveness*, 7(2). <https://doi.org/10.1080/19439342.2014.991799>

Dula, E.; Snelder, D.; Van, W.C.; de, C.B.T. (2022). Review of the Effectiveness of Smallholder Farmers' Adaptation to Climate Change and Variability in Developing Countries. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 65(5), 759–784. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09640568.2021.1905620>

Feliciano, D.; Ledo, A.; Hillier, J.; Dali, R.; Nayak, D.R. (2018). Which agroforestry options give the greatest soil and above ground carbon benefits in different world regions? *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 254, 117–129.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2017.11.032>

Filoso, S.; Bezerra, M.O.; Weiss, K.C.B.; Palmer, M.A. (2017). Impacts of Forest Restoration on Water Yield: A Systematic Review. *PLoS One*.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0183210>

Hepworth, N.; Hooper, V.; Hellebrandt, D.; Zeitoun, M.; Lankford, B. (2013). What factors determine the performance of institutional mechanisms for water resources management in developing countries in terms of delivering pro-poor outcomes and supporting sustainable economic growth? (CEE Review 11-006). London: Collaboration for Environmental Evidence. <https://environmentalevidence.org/project/what-factors-determine-the-performance-of-institutional-mechanisms-for-water-resources-management-in-developing-countries-in-terms-of-delivering-pro-poor-outcomes-and-supporting-sustainable-economic/>

Hett, C.; Aye, Z.C.; Gironde, C.; Beban, A.; Castella, J.; Bernhard, R.; Ehrensperger, A. (2023). Agroecological initiatives in the Mekong Region: A systematic literature review and mapping reveals their implications for transitioning to sustainable food systems. *Sustainability Science*, 18(1), 334–355. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-022-01239-4>

Hunter, R.F.; Cleland, C.; Cleary, A.; Droomers, M.; Wheeler, B.W.; Sinnett, D.; Nieuwenhuijsen, M.J.; Braubach, M. (2019). Environmental, health, wellbeing, social and equity effects of urban green space interventions: A meta-narrative evidence synthesis. *Environment International*, 130, 104923. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.104923>

Kremen, C.; Miles, A. (2012). Ecosystem Services in Biologically Diversified versus Conventional Farming Systems: Benefits, Externalities, and Trade-Offs. *Ecology and Society*, 17(4). <https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-05035-170440>

Kuyah, S.; Whitney, C.W.; Jonsson, M.; Sileshi, G.W.; Öborn, I.; Muthuri, C.W.; Luedeling, E. (2019). Agroforestry delivers a win-win solution for ecosystem services in sub-Saharan Africa: A meta-analysis. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 39, 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-019-0589-8>

Lu, Y.; Yu, L.; Li, W.; Aleksandrova, M. (2022). Impacts and Synergies of Weather Index Insurance and Microcredit in Rural Areas: A Systematic Review. *Environmental Research Letters*, 17. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac9244>

Roe, D.; Francesca, B.; Day, M.; Zhou, W.; Allebone-Webb, S.; Hill, N.A.O.; Kumpel, N.; Petrokofsky, G.; Redford, K.; Russell, D.; Shepherd, G.; Wright, J.; Sunderland, T.C.H. (2015). Are Alternative Livelihood Projects Effective At Reducing Local Threats To Specified Elements Of Biodiversity And/Or Improving Or Maintaining The Conservation Status Of Those Elements? *Environmental Evidence*, 3(6).
<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/s13750-015-0048-1>

Sabater, S.; Bregoli, F.; Acuña, V.; Barceló, D.; Elosegí, A.; Ginebreda, A.; Marcé, R.; Muñoz, I.; Sabater-Liesa, L.; Ferreira, V. (2018). Effects of human-driven water stress on river ecosystems: A meta-analysis. *Scientific Reports*, 8(1), 11462.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-29807-7>

Su, J.; Friess, D.A.; Gasparatos, A. (2021). A Meta-Analysis of the Ecological and Economic Outcomes of Mangrove Restoration. *Nature Communications*, 12, 1–13.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-25349-1>

Winter, S.; Bauer, T.; Strauss, P.; Kratschmer, S.; Paredes, D.; Popescu, D.; Landa, B.; Guzmán, G.; Gómez, J.A.; Guernion, M.; Zaller, J.G.; Batáry, P. (2018). Effects of vegetation management intensity on biodiversity and ecosystem services in vineyards: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 55(5), 2484–2495.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13124>

A2.17. Evaluation reports reporting project or programme contributions to terrestrial and freshwater ecosystems

United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE). Capacity-Building for Cooperation on Dam Safety in Central Asia (Phase 3). Geneva, 2021.
https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2021-09/ENV_EV~2.PDF

United Nations Institute for Training and Research (UNITAR). Final Evaluation of the IOMC Toolbox for Decision Making in Chemicals Management – Phase III: From Design to Action Project. Geneva, 2023. <https://unitar.org/results-evidence-learning/evaluation/final-evaluation-iomc-toolbox-decision-making-chemicals-management-phase-iii-design-action-project>

Global Environment Facility Independent Evaluation Office (GEF IEO). Formative Evaluation of the GEF Integrated Approach to Address the Drivers of Environmental Degradation. Washington, D.C., 2021.
<https://www.gefio.org/evaluations/environmental-degradation>

United Nations Development Programme. Independent Subregional Programme Evaluation: Pacific Countries. New York, 2022.

<https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/13393>

United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC). In-Depth Evaluation of Strengthening the Judicial Capacities of ECCAS Member States to Combat Wildlife Crime and Trafficking in Natural Resources (Central Africa Segment) (GPCAE-CA/GLOZ31). Vienna, 2023. https://www.unodc.org/documents/evaluation/indepth-evaluations/2023/Final_Evaluation_Report_GLOZ31_Central_Africa.pdf

United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE). Integrated Energy and Water Resources Management in Support of Sustainable Development in South-Eastern Europe and Asia. Geneva, 2022. https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2022-05/EVALUA~1_0.PDF

Adaptation Fund. Second Synthesis of the Evaluations in the Adaptation Fund. Washington, D.C., 2024. <https://www.adaptation-fund.org/document/second-phase-overall-evaluation-fund/>

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Synthesis of Evaluations of FAO's Contributions in the Africa Region (2019–2021). Rome, 2022. <https://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/cc0190en>

United Nations Development Programme. Third Joint GEF–UNDP Evaluation of the Small Grants Programme. New York, 2021. <https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/13051>

A2.18. Systematic reviews reporting interventions for sustainable forests

Bizikova, L.; Nkonya, E.; Minah, M.; Hanisch, M.; Rao, T.; Rama, M.; Speranza, C.; Karthikeyan, M.; Tang, L.; Ghezzi-Kopel, K.; Kelly, J.; Celestin, A.C.; Timmers, B. (2020). A scoping review of the contributions of farmers' organizations to smallholder agriculture. *Nature Food*, 1, 620–630. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-020-00164-x>

Börner, J.; Schulz, D.; Wunder, S.; Pfaff, A. (2020). The effectiveness of forest conservation policies and programs. *Annual Review of Resource Economics*, 12, 45–64. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-resource-110119-025703>

Bowler, D.; Buyung-Ali, L.; Healey, J.R.; Jones, J.P.G.; Knight, T.; Pullin, A.S. (2012). The evidence base for community forest management as a mechanism for supplying global environmental benefits and improving local welfare. *Ecological Applications*, 22(3), 29–36. <https://doi.org/10.1890/110040>

Brandt, J.S.; Buckley, R.C. (2018). A global systematic review of empirical evidence of ecotourism impacts on forests in biodiversity hotspots. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 32, 112–118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2018.04.004>

Brooks, J.; Waylen, K.A.; Mulder, M.B. (2013). Assessing Community-Based Conservation Projects: A Systematic Review And Multilevel Analysis Of Attitudinal, Behavioral, Ecological, And Economic Outcomes. *Environmental Evidence*, 2(2). <https://doi.org/10.1186/2047-2382-2-2>

Carrilho, C.D.; Chervier, C. (2023). What influences the effectiveness of forest conservation interventions in tropical regions? A systematic review. Bogor: CIFOR-ICRAF. <https://doi.org/10.17528/cifor-icraf/009063>

Castle, S.E.; Miller, D.C.; Ordonez, P.J.; Baylis, K.; Hughes, K. (2021). The Impacts of Agroforestry Interventions on Agricultural Productivity, Ecosystem Services, and Human Well-Being in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review. *Campbell Systematic Reviews*, 17(2), 1–52. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cl2.1167>

Coad, L.; Leverington, F.; Knights, K.; Geldmann, J.; Eassom, A.; Kapos, V.; Kingston, N.; de Lima, M.; Zamora, C.; Cuadros, I.; Nolte, C.; Burgess, N.D.; Hockings, M. (2015). Measuring impact of protected area management interventions: Current and future use of the global database of protected area management effectiveness. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 370, 20140281. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2014.0281>

Diansyah, W.; Abas, A.; Sakawi, Z. (2021). A systematic review on community forest management in Southeast Asia: Current practices and impacts on biodiversity conservation and livelihood quality of local communities. *Humanities and Social Sciences Reviews*, 9(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.22459/HER.27.01.2021.01>

Doswald, N.; Pérez Marín, I.; Rodríguez Pérez, J.J.; Prowse, M.; Escamilla de León, R.; Sánchez Torrente, L.; de la Vega Franco, G. (2021). Effectiveness of climate change mitigation interventions in the private sector in developing countries: A synthetic review (IEU Working Paper No. 2011/08). Incheon: Green Climate Fund – Independent Evaluation Unit. <https://ieu.greenclimate.fund/document/psim-synthetic-review>

Dula, E.; Snelder, D.; Van, W.C.; de, C.B.T. (2022). Review of the Effectiveness of Smallholder Farmers' Adaptation to Climate Change and Variability in Developing Countries. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 65(5), 759–784. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09640568.2021.1905620>

Eales, J.; Haddaway, N.R.; Bernes, C.; Cooke, S.J.; Jonsson, B.G.; Kouki, J.; Petrokofsky, G.; Taylor, J.J. (2018). What is the effect of prescribed burning in temperate

and boreal forest on biodiversity, beyond pyrophilous and saproxylic species? A systematic review. *Environmental Evidence*, 7(1), 19. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13750-018-0131-5>

Garrett, R.D.; Levy, S.A.; Gollnow, F.; Hodel, L.; Rueda, X. (2021). Have food supply chain policies improved forest conservation and rural livelihoods? A systematic review. *Environmental Research Letters*, 16(3), 033002. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abe0ed>

Hajjar, R.; Oldekop, J.; Cronkleton, P.; Newton, P.; Russell, A.J.M.; Zhou, W. (2020). A global analysis of the social and environmental outcomes of community forests. *Nature Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-020-00633-y>

Häkkinen, M.; Johansson, A.; Sandgren, T.; Uusitalo, A.; Mönkkönen, M.; Puttonen, P.; Savilaakso, S. (2021). Are small protected habitat patches within boreal production forests effective in conserving species richness, abundance and community composition? A systematic review. *Environmental Evidence*, 10(1), 2. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13750-020-00216-6>

Hekkala, A.; Roberge, J. (2018). The use of response measures in meta-analyses of land-use impacts on ecological communities: A review and the way forward. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 27(11), 2989–3005. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-018-1583-1>

Hellebrandt, D.; Sikor, T.; Hooper, L.; Daw, T. (2011). Is the use of renewable natural resources in the developing world more or less sustainable, pro-poor and profitable under controlled access compared to open access? (CEE Review 10-009). *Environmental Evidence*, Collaboration for Environmental Evidence. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S2211467X12000387>

Hett, C.; Aye, Z.C.; Gironde, C.; Beban, A.; Castella, J.; Bernhard, R.; Ehrensperger, A. (2023). Agroecological initiatives in the Mekong Region: A systematic literature review and mapping reveals their implications for transitioning to sustainable food systems. *Sustainability Science*, 18(1), 334–355. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-022-01239-4>

Ma, B.; Zhang, Y.; Hou, Y.; Wen, Y. (2020). Do Protected Areas Matter? A Systematic Review of the Social and Ecological Impacts of the Establishment of Protected Areas. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(19), 1–13. <https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/17/19/7259>

Miller, D.C.; Nakamura, K.S. (2018). Protected areas and the sustainable governance of forest resources. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 32, 96–103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2018.05.024>

Ojanen, M.; Zhou, W.; Miller, D.C.; Nieto, S.H.; Mshale, B.; Petrokofsky, G. (2017). What are the environmental impacts of property rights regimes in forests, fisheries and rangelands? *Environmental Evidence*, 6(1), 12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13750-017-0090-2>

Pelletier, J.; Gélinas, N.; Skutsch, M. (2016). The Place of Community Forest Management in the REDD+ Landscape. *Forests*, 7(8), 170–70. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f7080170>

Porter-Bolland, L.; Ellis, E.; Guariguata, M.; Ruiz-Mallén, I.; Negrete-Yankelevich, S.; Reyes-García, V. (2012). Community Managed Forests And Forest Protected Areas: An Assessment Of Their Conservation Effectiveness Across The Tropics. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 268(1), 6–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2011.05.034>

Roe, D.; Francesca, B.; Day, M.; Zhou, W.; Allebone-Webb, S.; Hill, N.A.O.; Kumpel, N.; Petrokofsky, G.; Redford, K.; Russell, D.; Shepherd, G.; Wright, J.; Sunderland, T.C.H. (2015). Are Alternative Livelihood Projects Effective At Reducing Local Threats To Specified Elements Of Biodiversity And/Or Improving Or Maintaining The Conservation Status Of Those Elements? *Environmental Evidence*, 3(6). <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/s13750-015-0048-1>

Samii, C.; Lisiecki, M.; Kulkarni, P.; Paler, L.; Chavis, L. (2014). Effects of decentralized forest management (DFM) on deforestation and poverty in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review. *Campbell Systematic Reviews*, 10(1), 1–88. <https://doi.org/10.4073/csr.2014.10>

Samii, C.; Lisiecki, M.; Kulkarni, P.; Paler, L.; Chavis, L. (2015). Effects of Payment for Environmental Services (PES) on Deforestation and Poverty in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review (3ie Systematic Review, Vol. 17). <https://doi.org/10.4073/csr.2014.11>

Snilstveit, B.; Stevenson, J.; Langer, L.; da Silva, N.; Ravat, Z.; Nduku, P.; Polanin, J.; Shemilt, I.; Evers, J.; Ferraro, P.J. (2019). Incentives for climate mitigation in the land use sector: A systematic review of the effects of payment for environmental services (PES) on environmental and socio-economic outcomes in low- and middle-income countries (3ie Systematic Review, No. 44). London: International Initiative for Impact Evaluation (3ie). <https://doi.org/10.1002/ci2.1045>

Su, J.; Friess, D.A.; Gasparatos, A. (2021). A Meta-Analysis of the Ecological and Economic Outcomes of Mangrove Restoration. *Nature Communications*, 12, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-25349-1>

Wassie, Y.T.; Adaramola, M.S. (2019). Potential environmental impacts of small-scale renewable energy technologies in East Africa: A systematic review of the evidence. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 111, 377–391.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2019.05.037>

Waylen, K.A.; Fischer, A.; McGowan, P.J.K.; Thirgood, S.J.; Milner-Gulland, E.J. (2010). The effect of local cultural context on community-based conservation interventions: Evaluating ecological, economic, attitudinal and behavioural outcomes (CEE Review 09-019). London: Collaboration for Environmental Evidence.
<http://www.environmentalevidence.org/completed-reviews/the-effect-of-local-cultural-context-on-community-based-conservation-interventions-evaluating-ecological-economic-attitudinal-and-behavioural-outcomes>

Wolff, S.; Schweinle, J. (2022). Effectiveness and Economic Viability of Forest Certification: A Systematic Review. *Forests*, 13(5). <https://doi.org/10.3390/f13050798>

A2.19. Evaluation reports reporting project or programme contributions to sustainable forests

Adaptation Fund. Second Synthesis of the Evaluations in the Adaptation Fund. Washington, D.C., 2024. <https://www.adaptation-fund.org/document/second-phase-overall-evaluation-fund/>

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Evaluation of Projects Related to the Voluntary Guidelines on the Responsible Governance of Tenure of Land, Fisheries and Forests in the Context of National Food Security Funded by Germany. Rome, 2021. <http://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/cb4876en>

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Evaluation of the FAO–EU Forest Law Enforcement, Governance and Trade Programme—Phase III. Rome, 2022. <https://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/cb9469en>

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Synthesis of Evaluations of FAO’s Contributions in the Africa Region (2019–2021). Rome, 2022. <https://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/cc0190en>

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Evaluation of FAO’s Contribution to Cabo Verde 2018–2022. Rome, 2023. <https://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/cc4445en>

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Evaluation of FAO’s Country Programme in the Republic of Ghana. Rome, 2023. <https://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/cc7978en>

Global Environment Facility Independent Evaluation Office (GEF IEO). Formative Evaluation of the GEF Integrated Approach to Address the Drivers of Environmental Degradation. Washington, D.C., 2021.

<https://www.gefio.org/evaluations/environmental-degradation>

International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD). Indonesia Country Strategy and Programme Evaluation. Rome, 2023. <https://ioe.ifad.org/en/w/indonesia-country-strategy-and-programme-evaluation-1>

International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD). Thematic Evaluation of IFAD's Support for Smallholder Farmers' Adaptation to Climate Change. Rome, 2023. <https://ioe.ifad.org/en/w/thematic-evaluation-of-ifad-s-support-for-smallholder-farmers-adaptation-to-climate-change>

United Nations. Evaluation of the Government of Mauritius & United Nations Strategic Partnership Framework (SPF) 2019–2023. New York, 2023.

https://minio.uninfo.org/uninfo-production-main/684443ba-a2b2-48d9-bbdb-9c6893d8736b_Final_Evaluation_UN_Gov_Mauritius_SPF_Report.pdf

United Nations. United Nations Pacific Strategy (UNPS) 2018–2022 Final Evaluation Report. New York, 2022. https://minio.uninfo.org/uninfo-production-main/c86a294d-d81b-477c-810e-9d1220eca444_UNPS_Final_Evaluation_Report.pdf

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Third Joint GEF–UNDP Evaluation of the Small Grants Programme. New York, 2021.

<https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/13051>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Independent Subregional Programme Evaluation: Pacific Countries. New York, 2022.

<https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/13393>

United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE). Accountability Systems for Sustainable Forest Management in Caucasus and Central Asian Countries. Geneva, 2021.

<https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2021-03/FORREST~1.PDF>

United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE). Self-Evaluation of the Forest Products Annual Market Review to the Needs of Member States and Challenges in the Forest Sector. Geneva, 2022. https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2022-12/EVALUA~1_0.PDF

United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). Terminal Evaluation of the UNEP Project: Addressing the Illicit Trade in Wildlife and Forest Products (PIMS 01951).

Nairobi, 2021. <https://wedocs.unep.org/handle/20.500.11822/37615>

United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC). In-Depth Evaluation of Strengthening the Judicial Capacities of ECCAS Member States to Combat Wildlife Crime and Trafficking in Natural Resources (Central Africa Segment) (GPCAE-CA/GLOZ31). Vienna, 2023. https://www.unodc.org/documents/evaluation/indepth-evaluations/2023/Final_Evaluation_Report_GLOZ31_Central_Africa.pdf

A2.20. Systematic reviews reporting energy interventions (modern energy services and renewable energy sources)

Blom, I.M.; Elissa, M.; Mattijssen, J.C.; Sana, H.; Haines, A.; Whitmee, S. (2024). Effectiveness of Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Intervention for Health-Care Systems: A Systematic Review. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 102, 159-175B-59-175B. <https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.23.290464>

Clasen, T.; Schmidt, W.; Rabie, T.; Roberts, I.; Cairncross, S. (2007). Interventions to improve water quality for preventing diarrhoea: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*, 334(7597), 782. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.39118.489931.BE>

Doswald, N.; Pérez Marín, I.; Rodríguez Pérez, J.J.; Prowse, M.; Escamilla de León, R.; Sánchez Torrente, L.; de la Vega Franco, G. (2021). Effectiveness of climate change mitigation interventions in the private sector in developing countries: A synthetic review (IEU Working Paper No. 2011/08). Incheon: Green Climate Fund – Independent Evaluation Unit. <https://ieu.greenclimate.fund/document/psim-synthetic-review>

Hulland, K.; Matin, N.; Dreibelbis, R.; DeBruiker, V.; Julia; Winch, P. (2015). What factors affect sustained adoption of safe water, hygiene and sanitation technologies? A systematic review of literature. London: EPPI-Centre, Social Science Research Unit, UCL Institute of Education, University College London. <https://eppi.ioe.ac.uk/cms/Portals/0/PDF%20reviews%20and%20summaries/WASH%20technologies%202015%20Hulland%20report.pdf?ver=2015-06-10-141853-910>

Kamwamba-Mtethiwa, J.; Weatherhead, K.; Knox, J. (2016). Assessing performance of small-scale pumped irrigation systems in sub-Saharan Africa: Evidence from a systematic review. *Irrigation and Drainage*, 65(3), 308–318. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ird.1950>

Khogali, A.; Ahmed, A.; Ibrahim, M.; Karrar, K.; Elsheikhe, M.; Abdelraheem, E.; Cluver, L.; Elmukashfi, E. (2022). Building Power-ful Health Systems: The Impacts of Electrification on Health Outcomes in LMICs. *Psychology, Health & Medicine*, 27(51), 124–137. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13548506.2022.2109049>

Owen, G. (2020). What makes climate change adaptation effective? A systematic review of the literature. *Global Environmental Change*, 62, 102071. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2020.102071>

Taylor, D.L.; Kahawita, T.M.; Cairncross, S.; Ensink, J.H. (2015). The Impact Of Water, Sanitation And Hygiene Interventions To Control Cholera: A Systematic Review. *PLoS ONE*, 10(8), e0135676-0135676. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0135676>

Waddington, H.; Snilstveit, B.; White, H.; Fewtrell, L. (2009). Water, sanitation and hygiene interventions to combat childhood diarrhoea in developing countries (3ie Systematic Review, No. 1). New Delhi: International Initiative for Impact Evaluation (3ie). <http://www.3ieimpact.org/evidence-hub/publications/systematic-reviews/water-sanitation-and-hygiene-interventions-combat>

Wassie, Y.T.; Adaramola, M.S. (2019). Potential environmental impacts of small-scale renewable energy technologies in East Africa: A systematic review of the evidence. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 111, 377–391. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2019.05.037>

Yates, T.; Allen, J.; Joseph, M.L.; Lantagne, D. (2017). Short-term WASH interventions in emergency response: A systematic review (3ie Systematic Review, No. 33). London: International Initiative for Impact Evaluation (3ie). <https://www.3ieimpact.org/evidence-hub/publications/systematic-reviews/short-term-wash-interventions-emergency-response>

Yates, T.; Allen, J.V.; Joseph, M.L.; Lantagne, D. (2017). WASH interventions in disease outbreak response: A systematic review. Oxford: Oxfam GB. <https://policy-practice.oxfam.org.uk/publications/wash-interventions-in-disease-outbreak-response-620202>

Yeung, T.; Tlhotlhamajele, L.; Roman, C.; Rastogi, A.; Prowse, M. (2024). Realist review: Just transition towards low emission, climate resilient and more inclusive societies in developing countries. <https://ieugreenclimate.fund/document/realist-review-just-transition>

A2.21. Evaluation reports reporting project or programme contributions to modern energy services and renewable energy sources

Global Environment Facility Independent Evaluation Office (GEF IEO). Evaluation of the Special Climate Change Fund (SCCF). Washington, D.C., 2021. <https://www.gefio.org/evaluations/sccf-2021>

Green Climate Fund Independent Evaluation Unit (GCF IEU). Independent Evaluation of the GCF's Approach to the Private Sector. Songdo, 2021.
<https://ieu.greenclimate.fund/document/private-sector-report-vol-i>

Green Climate Fund Independent Evaluation Unit (GCF IEU). Independent Evaluation of the Relevance and Effectiveness of the GCF's Investments in the Least Developed Countries (LDCs). Songdo, 2022. <https://ieu.greenclimate.fund/document/final-report-independent-evaluation-relevance-and-effectiveness-green-climate-funds>

Green Climate Fund Independent Evaluation Unit (GCF IEU). Independent Evaluation of the Relevance and Effectiveness of the GCF's Investments in the African States. Songdo, 2023. <https://ieu.greenclimate.fund/document/final-report-independent-evaluation-relevance-and-effectiveness-green-climate-funds-0>

Green Climate Fund Independent Evaluation Unit (GCF IEU). Independent Synthesis of Direct Access in the GCF. Songdo, 2023. <https://ieu.greenclimate.fund/document/final-report-independent-synthesis-direct-access-green-climate-fund>

Green Climate Fund Independent Evaluation Unit (GCF IEU). Second Performance Review (SPR) of the Green Climate Fund. Songdo, 2023.
<https://ieu.greenclimate.fund/document/final-report-second-performance-review-green-climate-fund>

International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD). Infrastructure at IFAD (2001–2019). Rome, 2021. https://ioe.ifad.org/en/w/infrastructure-at-ifad-2001-2019-?p_1_back_url=%2Fen%2Flatest-reports%3Fdelta%3D20%26start%3D2

International Labour Organization (ILO). Skills for Energy in Southern Africa – Midterm Evaluation. Geneva, 2023.
<https://wwwex.ilo.org/ordspub/prdutf8p/eitrack/files/file/210405>

United Nations. Evaluation Report of United Nations Sustainable Development Partnership Framework (UNSDPF) 2019–2023 for Bhutan. New York, 2023.
https://minio.uninfo.org/uninfo-production-main/aa2ae5cc-41ce-4b44-abe3-f1820df2d9e3_UNSDPF_2019-2023_Evaluation_Report_June_2023.pdf

United Nations. Final Evaluation of the Government of Mauritius & United Nations Strategic Partnership Framework (SPF) 2019–2023. New York, 2023.
https://minio.uninfo.org/uninfo-production-main/684443ba-a2b2-48d9-bbdb-9c6893d8736b_Final_Evaluation_UN_Gov_Mauritius_SPF_Report.pdf

United Nations Country Team in India. Report of the Evaluation of the Government of India – UN Sustainable Development Framework 2018–2022. New Delhi, 2022.

https://minio.uninfo.org/uninfo-production-main/f056b7b4-9fd7-4be7-b9bd-e311af720341_2023_India_UNSDF_2018-22_Evaluation_report.pdf

United Nations Country Team in Pakistan. Evaluation of the Pakistan United Nations Sustainable Development Framework (UNSDF)/One UN Programme III (OP III) 2018–2022. Islamabad, 2022. https://minio.uninfo.org/uninfo-production-main/ff9eb2df-db1f-4229-9058-4560e37b4b45_UNSDF_PAK_Evaluation_Final_Report_with_Annexes.pdf

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Independent Evaluation: Sudan Peacebuilding and Community Stabilization Project. New York, 2022. <https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/13393>

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Supporting Resilient Livelihoods and Food Security in Yemen Joint Programme (ERRY II) – Final Evaluation. New York, 2022. https://www.undp.org/sites/g/files/zskgke326/files/2022-10/Impact%20Assessment%20Report_ERRY%20II_Final_0.pdf

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). UNDP Support to Energy Access and Transition. New York, 2022. <https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/evaluations/detail/13379>

United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (UN ESCAP). Integrating the SDGs into Local Action in Support of the Implementation of the 2030 Agenda in Asia. 2021. https://www.unescap.org/sites/default/d8files/2022-01/EDD_Integrating-SDGs-into-action-Final.pdf

United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (UN ESCAP). Evaluation of the Implementation of the Asia-Pacific Plan of Action on Space Applications for Sustainable Development (2018–2030) in its Phase I (2018–2022). Bangkok, 2022. <https://www.unescap.org/sites/default/d8files/2022-10/ESCAP-evaluation-report-on-PoA-Space-Application.pdf>

United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (UN ESCAP). Supporting Countries in Asia-Pacific to Meet Commitments to the Paris Agreement. Bangkok, 2023. https://www.unescap.org/sites/default/d8files/2023-06/Paris-Agreement-project-evaluation_final_formatted.pdf

United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (UN ESCAP). Evaluation of the ESCAP Subprogramme 9 on Energy. Bangkok, 2024. <https://repository.unescap.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.12870/6845/ESCAP-2024-RP-evaluation-ESCAP-energy-subprogramme-2018-2022.pdf>

United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (UN ESCAP). Support Implementation of the Outcomes of the Second Asian and Pacific Energy Forum. Bangkok, 2024.

<https://repository.unescap.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.12870/6997/ESCAP-2024-RP-Support-implementation-outcomes-Second-Asian-Pacific-Energy-Forum.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>

United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE). Integrated Energy and Water Resources Management in Support of Sustainable Development in South-Eastern Europe and Asia. Geneva, 2022. https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2022-05/EVALUA~1_0.PDF

United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE). Moving to Carbon Neutrality in the UNECE Region. Geneva, 2023. https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2023-08/E355_Report_Carbon%20Neutrality%20Project%20Evaluation%20Final.pdf

United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE). Evidence-Based Environmental Governance and Sustainable Environmental Policies in Support of the 2030 Agenda in South-East Europe. Geneva, 2024. <https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2022-12/UNECED~1.PDF>

United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). Independent Review of UNEP's Contributions to Poverty Reduction, on behalf of Sida: Synthesis Report. Nairobi, 2021. <https://wedocs.unep.org/handle/20.500.11822/35901>

United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO). Evaluation of Integrated Solutions for Water, Energy, and Land Use (Project No. GFL/2328-2732-4A86). Vienna, 2021. https://www.unido.org/sites/default/files/files/2021-07/EvalRep_GFGLO-140312_Energy%20Water%20and%20Land_TE-2020.pdf

United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO). Thematic Synthesis of Independent Evaluations of UNIDO Renewable Energy Projects from 2016 to 2020. Vienna, 2021. https://www.unido.org/sites/default/files/files/2021-06/Thematic%20Synthesis_UNIDO%20Renewable%20Energy%20Evaluations%202016-2020_210406.pdf

United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO). Terminal Evaluation of the Project on Mitigation Technologies through the Climate Technology Centre and Network (CTCN): Project No. 140307; GEF ID: 5832. Vienna, 2022. https://www.unido.org/sites/default/files/files/2022-01/EvalRep_GFGLO-140307-5832_TE-2020.pdf

United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO). Parliamentary Action on Renewable Energy (PARE): Terminal Evaluation – Project No. 190106. Vienna, 2023.

https://www.unido.org/sites/default/files/files/2023-05/EvalRep_GLO-190106_PARE_TE-2022.pdf

United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO). Start-up and First Operational Phase of the Caribbean Centre for Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency (CCREEE) – A Centre of Excellence to Promote Inclusive and Sustainable Energy Industries. Vienna, 2023.

[https://downloads.unido.org/ot/31/33/31336167/Evaluation%20report%20on%20Start-up%20and%20first%20operational%20phase%20of%20the%20Caribbean%20Centre%20for%20Renewable%20Energy%20and%20Energy%20Efficiency%20\(CCREEE\).pdf](https://downloads.unido.org/ot/31/33/31336167/Evaluation%20report%20on%20Start-up%20and%20first%20operational%20phase%20of%20the%20Caribbean%20Centre%20for%20Renewable%20Energy%20and%20Energy%20Efficiency%20(CCREEE).pdf)

United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO). Evaluation Report on Generating Energy Capacity from Geothermal Power Generation and its Related Technologies for Sustainable Development. Vienna, 2024.

<https://downloads.unido.org/ot/34/14/34143827/Evaluation%20report%20on%20Generating%20energy%20capacity%20from%20geothermal%20power%20generation%20and%20its%20related%20technologies%20for%20sustainable%20development.pdf>

World Meteorological Organization (WMO). External Evaluation of the WMO Gender Roadmap. Geneva, 2022. <https://wmoomm.sharepoint.com/:b:/s/wmocpdb/EabOV-bhg7xBs8am1BGMapk>